

ICPSR 35163

## **Collaborative Multi-racial Post-election Survey (CMPS), 2008**

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106  
[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

# **Collaborative Multi-racial Post-election Survey (CMPS), 2008**

Matt Barreto

*University of Washington-Seattle*

Lorrie Frasure-Yokley

*University of California-Los Angeles*

Ange-Marie Hancock

*University of Southern California*

Sylvia Manzano

*Latino Decisions*

Karthick Ramakrishnan

*University of California-Riverside*

Ricardo Ramirez

*University of Notre Dame*

Gabe Sanchez

*University of New Mexico*

Janelle Wong

*University of Maryland*

## Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:  
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/35163/terms>

## Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

### NOTICE

#### WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

**ICPSR PROCESSING NOTE FOR # 35163**  
*Collaborative Multi-racial Post-election Survey (CMPS), 2008*  
**Public use data**

**1) Confidentiality:**

- ICPSR has recoded numeric variables with sensitive information using top and bottom coding methods to protect respondent confidentiality.
- Values that were deemed as indirect identifiers (such as age, income, year of citizenship) ICPSR used a series of data coarsening techniques to protect for confidentiality.

**2) Variable Recode:**

- The following variables were recoded from string to numeric to improve the usability of the data:
  - **q29b\_order**
  - **sample\_type**
  - **State2**
  - **q45\_split**

**3) Question Text:**

- Question text was added to the data based on the original data collection instrument deposited by the Principal Investigators.

**4) Value and Variable labels:**

- Value and Variable labels were standardized based on the original data collection instrument deposited by the Principal Investigators.
- Any spelling errors found in the value labels were corrected during ICPSR processing.

**5) Missing Values:**

- Missing values were standardized as per the study's convention.
- Values "88" and "99" (labeled as "Don't know" and "Missing" respectively) were left as valid responses in most variables at the request of the Principal Investigators.

# **ICPSR 35163**

## **Collaborative Multi-racial Post-election Survey (CMPS), 2008**

### **Variable Description and Frequencies**

**Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.**

**Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.**

**ICPSR has an FAQ on [copyright and survey instruments](#).**

---

## CMPS\_ID: cmps\_id

CMPS\_ID

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 2282.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4563
- Standard Deviation: 1317.37

*Location:* 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## CASEIDENT: caseident

CASEIDENT

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 2171.19
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6708
- Standard Deviation: 1833.62

*Location:* 5-8 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## STATE2: State

STATE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AZ	140	3.1 %
2	CA	669	14.7 %
3	CO	135	3.0 %
4	FL	344	7.5 %
5	GA	155	3.4 %
6	HI	168	3.7 %
7	IL	306	6.7 %
8	MI	177	3.9 %
9	NC	252	5.5 %
10	NJ	243	5.3 %
11	NM	161	3.5 %
12	NV	131	2.9 %
13	NY	370	8.1 %
14	OH	190	4.2 %
15	PA	206	4.5 %
16	TX	569	12.5 %
17	VA	201	4.4 %
18	WA	146	3.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 18

*Location:* 9-10 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## MSA1999: Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999

Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999 dummy code: 1 = inside MSA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside MSA	2658	58.3 %
1	Inside MSA	1789	39.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 11-12 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## CMSA1999: Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999

Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999 dummy code: 1 = inside CMSA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside CMSA	2200	48.2 %
1	Inside CMSA	2247	49.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 13-14 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## PMSA1999: Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999

Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area 1999 dummy code: 1 = inside PMSA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside PMSA	2200	48.2 %
1	Inside PMSA	2247	49.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 15-16 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## CNTRLCTY99: Central City 1999

Central City 1999 dummy code: 1 = inside Central City

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside Central City	2589	56.7 %
1	Inside Central City	1858	40.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 17-18 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## CBSA2003: Core Based Statistical Area 2003

Core Based Statistical Area 2003 dummy code: 1 = inside CBSA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside CBSA	126	2.8 %
1	Inside CBSA	4321	94.7 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 19-20 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## CSA2003: Combined Statistical Area 2003

Combined Statistical Area 2003 dummy code: 1 = inside CSA

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside CSA	1595	35.0 %
1	Inside CSA	2852	62.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
99	Missing	116	2.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,447 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 21-22 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## SAMPLE\_TYPE: Surname/Sample List

Surname/Sample List

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	Latino	1611	35.3 %
20	Black	980	21.5 %
31	Chinese	175	3.8 %
33	Korean	157	3.4 %
34	Filipino	123	2.7 %
35	Japanese	71	1.6 %
36	Indian	211	4.6 %
37	Vietnamese	142	3.1 %
40	White	1093	24.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 10
- Maximum: 40

*Location:* 23-24 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## YYYYMMDD: Date of Interview

Date of Interview

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20081108	-	21	0.5 %
20081109	-	46	1.0 %
20081110	-	23	0.5 %
20081111	-	50	1.1 %
20081112	-	52	1.1 %
20081113	-	97	2.1 %
20081114	-	82	1.8 %
20081115	-	133	2.9 %
20081116	-	97	2.1 %
20081117	-	163	3.6 %
20081118	-	129	2.8 %
20081119	-	215	4.7 %
20081120	-	114	2.5 %
20081121	-	123	2.7 %
20081122	-	143	3.1 %
20081123	-	163	3.6 %
20081124	-	188	4.1 %
20081125	-	215	4.7 %
20081126	-	139	3.0 %
20081128	-	61	1.3 %
20081129	-	110	2.4 %
20081130	-	144	3.2 %
20081201	-	49	1.1 %
20081202	-	70	1.5 %
20081203	-	54	1.2 %
20081204	-	100	2.2 %
20081205	-	80	1.8 %
20081206	-	61	1.3 %
20081207	-	36	0.8 %
20081208	-	50	1.1 %
20081209	-	13	0.3 %
20081210	-	108	2.4 %
20081211	-	111	2.4 %
20081212	-	96	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20081213	-	78	1.7 %
20081214	-	79	1.7 %
20081215	-	86	1.9 %
20081216	-	107	2.3 %
20081217	-	36	0.8 %
20081219	-	6	0.1 %
20081220	-	41	0.9 %
20081222	-	57	1.2 %
20081223	-	89	2.0 %
20081226	-	20	0.4 %
20081227	-	66	1.4 %
20081228	-	87	1.9 %
20081229	-	52	1.1 %
20081230	-	65	1.4 %
20090102	-	31	0.7 %
20090103	-	15	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 20081860.41
- Median: 20081129.00
- Minimum: 20081108
- Maximum: 20090112
- Standard Deviation: 2406.93

*Location:* 25-32 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## INT\_DAY: Interview Day 1/58

Interview Day 1/58

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	21	0.5 %
2	-	46	1.0 %
3	-	23	0.5 %
4	-	50	1.1 %
5	-	52	1.1 %
6	-	97	2.1 %
7	-	82	1.8 %
8	-	133	2.9 %
9	-	97	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	163	3.6 %
11	-	129	2.8 %
12	-	215	4.7 %
13	-	114	2.5 %
14	-	123	2.7 %
15	-	143	3.1 %
16	-	163	3.6 %
17	-	188	4.1 %
18	-	215	4.7 %
19	-	139	3.0 %
20	-	61	1.3 %
21	-	110	2.4 %
22	-	144	3.2 %
23	-	49	1.1 %
24	-	70	1.5 %
25	-	54	1.2 %
26	-	100	2.2 %
27	-	80	1.8 %
28	-	61	1.3 %
29	-	36	0.8 %
30	-	50	1.1 %
31	-	13	0.3 %
32	-	108	2.4 %
33	-	111	2.4 %
34	-	96	2.1 %
35	-	78	1.7 %
36	-	79	1.7 %
37	-	86	1.9 %
38	-	107	2.3 %
39	-	36	0.8 %
40	-	6	0.1 %
41	-	41	0.9 %
42	-	57	1.2 %
43	-	89	2.0 %
44	-	20	0.4 %
45	-	66	1.4 %
46	-	87	1.9 %
47	-	52	1.1 %
48	-	65	1.4 %
49	-	31	0.7 %
50	-	15	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 24.66
- Median: 21.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 58
- Standard Deviation: 14.51

*Location:* 33-34 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## LENGTH: Length in Minutes

Length in Minutes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.1 %
8	-	6	0.1 %
9	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	14	0.3 %
11	-	11	0.2 %
12	-	21	0.5 %
13	-	38	0.8 %
14	-	66	1.4 %
15	-	109	2.4 %
16	-	142	3.1 %
17	-	212	4.6 %
18	-	259	5.7 %
19	-	309	6.8 %
20	-	327	7.2 %
21	-	331	7.3 %
22	-	296	6.5 %
23	-	296	6.5 %
24	-	254	5.6 %
25	-	257	5.6 %
26	-	236	5.2 %
27	-	177	3.9 %
28	-	156	3.4 %
29	-	118	2.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
30	-	109	2.4 %
31	-	116	2.5 %
32	-	85	1.9 %
33	-	72	1.6 %
34	-	72	1.6 %
35	-	49	1.1 %
36	-	42	0.9 %
37	-	32	0.7 %
38	-	40	0.9 %
39	-	36	0.8 %
40	-	18	0.4 %
41	-	25	0.5 %
42	-	25	0.5 %
43	-	23	0.5 %
44	-	25	0.5 %
45	-	11	0.2 %
46	-	19	0.4 %
47	-	18	0.4 %
48	-	5	0.1 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	7	0.2 %
51	-	11	0.2 %
52	-	6	0.1 %
53	-	3	0.1 %
54	-	7	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 24.84
- Median: 23.00
- Mode: 21.00
- Minimum: 5
- Maximum: 129
- Standard Deviation: 9.12

*Location:* 35-37 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## S2: Language of survey

Record language of survey: English(01), Spanish(02), Mandarin(03), Cantonese(04), Korean(05), Vietnamese(06)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	English	3536	77.5 %
2	Spanish	691	15.1 %
3	Manderin	82	1.8 %
4	Cantonese	2	0.0 %
5	Korean	129	2.8 %
6	Vietnamese	123	2.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### S3A\_1: First race picked

First race picked: [IF S2=ENGLISH] Okay, before we get started, we want to make sure we have a representative sample of people of all backgrounds. [IF SAMPLE=ASIAN] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Asian? [IF SAMPLE=LATINO] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Hispanic or Latino? [IF SAMPLE=BLACK] Do you consider any part of your family background to be African American? [IF SAMPLE=WHITE] Do you consider any part of your family background to be of a racial/ethnic minority such as Black, Hispanic or Asian? [IF S2=SPANISH...DO NOT ASK....PUNCH 1] [IF S2= 3 - 6...DO NOT ASK.....PUNCH 2]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	1571	34.4 %
2	Asian	918	20.1 %
3	Black	944	20.7 %
4	White	1130	24.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### S3A\_2: Second race picked

Second race picked: [IF S2=ENGLISH] Okay, before we get started, we want to make sure we have a representative sample of people of all backgrounds. [IF SAMPLE=ASIAN] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Asian? [IF SAMPLE=LATINO] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Hispanic or Latino? [IF SAMPLE=BLACK] Do you consider any part of your family background to be African American? [IF SAMPLE=WHITE] Do you consider any part of your family background to be of a racial/ethnic minority such as Black, Hispanic or Asian? [IF S2=SPANISH...DO NOT ASK....PUNCH 1] [IF S2= 3 - 6...DO NOT ASK.....PUNCH 2]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	15	0.3 %
2	Asian	4	0.1 %
3	Black	9	0.2 %
4	White	26	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4509	98.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 54 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### S3A\_3: Third race picked

Third race picked: [IF S2=ENGLISH] Okay, before we get started, we want to make sure we have a representative sample of people of all backgrounds. [IF SAMPLE=ASIAN] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Asian? [IF SAMPLE=LATINO] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Hispanic or Latino? [IF SAMPLE=BLACK] Do you consider any part of your family background to be African American? [IF SAMPLE=WHITE] Do you consider any part of your family background to be of a racial/ethnic minority such as Black, Hispanic or Asian? [IF S2=SPANISH...DO NOT ASK....PUNCH 1] [IF S2= 3 - 6...DO NOT ASK.....PUNCH 2]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	1	0.0 %
2	Asian	0	0.0 %
3	Black	1	0.0 %
4	White	7	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4554	99.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 9 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### S3A\_4: Fourth race picked

Fourth race picked: [IF S2=ENGLISH] Okay, before we get started, we want to make sure we have a representative sample of people of all backgrounds. [IF SAMPLE=ASIAN] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Asian? [IF SAMPLE=LATINO] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Hispanic or Latino? [IF SAMPLE=BLACK] Do you consider any part of your family background to be African American? [IF SAMPLE=WHITE] Do you consider any part of your family background to be of a racial/ethnic minority such as Black, Hispanic or Asian? [IF S2=SPANISH...DO NOT ASK....PUNCH 1] [IF S2= 3 - 6...DO NOT ASK.....PUNCH 2]



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	0	0.0 %
2	Asian	0	0.0 %
3	Black	0	0.0 %
4	White	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4562	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 4
- Maximum: 4

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### S3: Racial background

Racial background: [IF S2=ENGLISH] Okay, before we get started, we want to make sure we have a representative sample of people of all backgrounds. [IF SAMPLE=ASIAN] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Asian? [IF SAMPLE=LATINO] Do you consider any part of your family background to be Hispanic or Latino? [IF SAMPLE=BLACK] Do you consider any part of your family background to be African American? [IF SAMPLE=WHITE] Do you consider any part of your family background to be of a racial/ethnic minority such as Black, Hispanic or Asian? [IF S2=SPANISH...DO NOT ASK....PUNCH 1] [IF S2= 3 - 6...DO NOT ASK.....PUNCH 2]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	1577	34.6 %
2	Asian	919	20.1 %
3	Black	945	20.7 %
4	White	1122	24.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### S4: Registered to vote

[ALL] Are you currently registered to vote in the state of [STATE NAME]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes (CONTINUE)	4563	100.0 %
2	No (TERMINATE)	0	0.0 %
99	DK/RF (TERMINATE)	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 44-45 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q1: Follow news about 2008 election

1. [ALL] Thinking back to October and November of this year, how closely did you follow news about the 2008 presidential race? Did you follow the race very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely, or not closely at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very closely	3151	69.1 %
2	Somewhat closely	1036	22.7 %
3	Not too closely	262	5.7 %
4	Not closely at all	97	2.1 %
88	Don't Know	16	0.4 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 46-47 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q2: Read newspapers about politics

2. [ALL] People rely on different sources for political information. Do you read newspapers for information about politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2796	61.3 %
2	No	1761	38.6 %
88	Don't Know	5	0.1 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 48-49 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q2A: Newspaper language

2a. [IF 2=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language or Asian-oriented newspapers, English-language, or both? [IF 2=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language newspapers, English-language, or both? [IF 2=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented papers, mainstream papers, or both?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Language ONLY	146	3.2 %
2	Spanish language ONLY	74	1.6 %
3	Black oriented ONLY	20	0.4 %
4	Both types	590	12.9 %
5	English/mainstream ONLY	1171	25.7 %
88	Don't Know	7	0.2 %
99	Refused	3	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2552	55.9 %
Total		4,563	100%

Based upon 2,011 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 50-51 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q3: Listen to radio about political information

3. [ALL] Do you listen to the radio for political information?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2402	52.6 %
2	No	2155	47.2 %
88	Don't Know	6	0.1 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
Total		4,563	100%

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 88

Location: 52-53 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q3A: Radio language

3a. [IF 3=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language or Asian-oriented radio, English-language, or both? [IF 3=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language radio, English-language, or both? [IF 3=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented radio, mainstream radio, or both?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Language ONLY	101	2.2 %
2	Spanish language ONLY	169	3.7 %
3	Black oriented ONLY	63	1.4 %
4	Both types	544	11.9 %
5	English/mainstream ONLY	842	18.5 %
88	Don't Know	11	0.2 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2832	62.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 54-55 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

#### Q4: Watch television for political information

4 [ALL] Do you watch television for political information?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4136	90.6 %
2	No	421	9.2 %
88	Don't Know	6	0.1 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 88

*Location:* 56-57 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

#### Q4A: Television language

4a. [IF 4=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language TV or Asian-oriented, English-language, or both? [IF 4=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language TV, English-language, or both? [IF 4=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented TV, mainstream TV, or both?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Language ONLY	140	3.1 %
2	Spanish language ONLY	218	4.8 %
3	Black oriented ONLY	17	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Both types	1064	23.3 %
5	English/mainstream ONLY	1663	36.4 %
88	Don't Know	12	0.3 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1449	31.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,114 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 88

*Location:* 58-59 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q5: Use internet for political information

5 [ALL] Do you use the Internet for political information?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2277	49.9 %
2	No	2274	49.8 %
88	Don't Know	8	0.2 %
99	Refused	4	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 60-61 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q5A: Internet language

5a. [IF 5=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language websites, English-language, or both? [IF 5=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language websites, English-language, or both? [IF 5=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented websites, mainstream websites, or both?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Asian Language ONLY	68	1.5 %
2	Spanish language ONLY	28	0.6 %
3	Black oriented ONLY	17	0.4 %
4	Both types	417	9.1 %
5	English/mainstream ONLY	1088	23.8 %
88	Don't Know	7	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2937	64.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,626 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 62-63 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q6\_1: Favorability: George W. Bush

6. [ALL] Now I'd like to ask you about some people who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have heard of the person, and if your impression is very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable.

If you have no opinion, or have never heard of the person, just let me know.

How about George W. Bush. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of George W. Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	545	11.9 %
2	Somewhat favorable	866	19.0 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	797	17.5 %
4	Very unfavorable	2121	46.5 %
5	No opinion	225	4.9 %
6	Never heard	9	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q6\_2: Favorability: Barack Obama

How about Barack Obama. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Barack Obama?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	2556	56.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Somewhat favorable	1066	23.4 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	307	6.7 %
4	Very unfavorable	368	8.1 %
5	No opinion	264	5.8 %
6	Never heard	2	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q6\_3: Favorability: John McCain

How about John McCain. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of John McCain?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	725	15.9 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1579	34.6 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	1058	23.2 %
4	Very unfavorable	835	18.3 %
5	No opinion	351	7.7 %
6	Never heard	15	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q6\_4: Favorability: Hillary Clinton

How about Hillary Clinton. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Hillary Clinton?

Favorability:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	1811	39.7 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1567	34.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	471	10.3 %
4	Very unfavorable	516	11.3 %
5	No opinion	192	4.2 %
6	Never heard	6	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q6\_5: Favorability: Sarah Palin

How about Sarah Palin. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Sarah Palin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	614	13.5 %
2	Somewhat favorable	876	19.2 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	826	18.1 %
4	Very unfavorable	1637	35.9 %
5	No opinion	467	10.2 %
6	Never heard	143	3.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q6\_6: Favorability: Joe Biden

How about Joe Biden. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Joe Biden?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	1231	27.0 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1472	32.3 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	450	9.9 %
4	Very unfavorable	460	10.1 %
5	No opinion	595	13.0 %
6	Never heard	355	7.8 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q6\_7: Favorability: Bill Richardson

How about Bill Richardson. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Bill Richardson?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	684	15.0 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1092	23.9 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	372	8.2 %
4	Very unfavorable	233	5.1 %
5	No opinion	849	18.6 %
6	Never heard	1333	29.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

*Location:* 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q6\_8: Favorability: Bobby Jindal

How about Bobby Jindal. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Bobby Jindal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	269	5.9 %
2	Somewhat favorable	471	10.3 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	217	4.8 %
4	Very unfavorable	130	2.8 %
5	No opinion	1055	23.1 %
6	Never heard	2421	53.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1

- Maximum: 6

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q6\_9: Favorability: Condoleezza Rice

How about Condoleezza Rice. Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of Condoleezza Rice?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very favorable	1237	27.1 %
2	Somewhat favorable	1539	33.7 %
3	Somewhat unfavorable	569	12.5 %
4	Very unfavorable	445	9.8 %
5	No opinion	454	9.9 %
6	Never heard	319	7.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q7: Voted on Election Day

7. [ALL] In talking to people about elections, we often find that people are too busy, did not have enough time to vote, or just forgot it was Election Day. How about you? If we checked the official voter statistics, would it say that you voted in the Presidential election on November 4th, or did you not get around to voting this year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I voted	4293	94.1 %
2	No, I did not vote	262	5.7 %
88	Don't Know	3	0.1 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 73-74 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q7A: Voting method

7a [IF 7=1] And did you vote by mail, vote early, vote by absentee ballot, or did you vote at the polls on Election Day November 4th?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Voted by mail, absentee	787	17.2 %
2	Voted early	974	21.3 %
3	Voted at the polls	2521	55.2 %
4	Did Not vote	6	0.1 %
88	Don't Know	3	0.1 %
99	Refused	2	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	270	5.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,293 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 75-76 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q8: Presidential vote, 2008

8. [IF 7=1] In the 2008 election for President, did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE \_Republican John McCain, \_Democrat Barack Obama] or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	McCain	1129	24.7 %
2	Obama	2752	60.3 %
3	Someone else	89	2.0 %
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	50	1.1 %
99	Refused	273	6.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	270	5.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,293 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 77-78 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q9: Congressional vote, 2008

9. [IF 7=1] And how about in the election for the House of Representatives in your district. Did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE: The Republican candidate OR the Democratic candidate] or some other candidate for U.S. Congress?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	1104	24.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Democrat	2699	59.1 %
3	Someone else	79	1.7 %
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	269	5.9 %
99	Refused	142	3.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	270	5.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,293 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 79-80 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10: Senate vote, 2008

10. [IF 7=1] And this year, [STATE NAME] had a U.S. Senate election. Did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE: The Republican candidate OR the Democratic candidate] or some other candidate for U.S. Senate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	515	11.3 %
2	Democrat	1339	29.3 %
3	Someone else	30	0.7 %
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	118	2.6 %
99	Refused	61	1.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2500	54.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,063 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 81-82 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q10A: California: Prop. 8

10A. [IF STATE=CA] How did you vote on Proposition 8 here in California? This is the measure that would change the California constitution so that only marriage between a man and woman is valid. Did you vote yes or no on Prop 8?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes on Prop 8	362	7.9 %
2	No on Prop 8	225	4.9 %
3	Someone else	35	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	27	0.6 %
99	Refused	20	0.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3894	85.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 669 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q11\_1: Most important issue 1

11. [ALL] Thinking back to the presidential election, what do you think were the most important issues facing the nation? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list ] RECORD: Most important issue 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Education	108	2.4 %
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	3284	72.0 %
3	War in Iraq	416	9.1 %
4	Ethics in government	20	0.4 %
5	National/homeland security/border security	112	2.5 %
6	Immigration-related issues	71	1.6 %
7	The environment/global warming	12	0.3 %
8	Health care	151	3.3 %
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	27	0.6 %
10	Taxes	37	0.8 %
11	War on Terrorism	48	1.1 %
12	Morals/values	39	0.9 %
13	Race Relations	6	0.1 %
14	Something else	91	2.0 %
88	Don't know	126	2.8 %
99	Refused	15	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 85-86 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q11\_2: Most important issue 2

11. [ALL] Thinking back to the presidential election, what do you think were the most important issues facing the nation? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list ] RECORD: Most important issue 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Education	137	3.0 %
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	453	9.9 %
3	War in Iraq	984	21.6 %
4	Ethics in government	31	0.7 %
5	National/homeland security/border security	137	3.0 %
6	Immigration-related issues	151	3.3 %
7	The environment/global warming	49	1.1 %
8	Health care	336	7.4 %
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	75	1.6 %
10	Taxes	34	0.7 %
11	War on Terrorism	126	2.8 %
12	Morals/values	36	0.8 %
13	Race Relations	19	0.4 %
14	Something else	117	2.6 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1878	41.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,685 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 14

Location: 87-88 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q12\_1: Most important issue facing racial community 1

12. [IF S3 = 1, 2, 3 ONLY] And what do you think are the most important issues facing the [IF S3=ASIAN, "Asian community" IF S3=LATINO, "Latino community", IF S3=BLACK, "Black community"]? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO - RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO] RECORD: Most important issue facing racial community 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Education	295	6.5 %
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	1628	35.7 %
3	War in Iraq	56	1.2 %
4	Ethics in government	22	0.5 %
5	National/homeland security/border security	33	0.7 %
6	Immigration-related issues	507	11.1 %
7	The environment/global warming	9	0.2 %
8	Health care	143	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	6	0.1 %
10	Taxes	12	0.3 %
11	War on Terrorism	18	0.4 %
12	Morals/values	62	1.4 %
13	Race Relations	83	1.8 %
14	Something else	141	3.1 %
88	Don't know	380	8.3 %
99	Refused	46	1.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1122	24.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,441 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 89-90 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q12\_2: Most important issue facing racial community 2

12. [IF S3 = 1, 2, 3 ONLY] And what do you think are the most important issues facing the [IF S3=ASIAN, "Asian community" IF S3=LATINO, "Latino community", IF S3=BLACK, "Black community"]? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO - RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO] RECORD: Most important issue facing racial community 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Education	179	3.9 %
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	314	6.9 %
3	War in Iraq	122	2.7 %
4	Ethics in government	16	0.4 %
5	National/homeland security/border security	34	0.7 %
6	Immigration-related issues	175	3.8 %
7	The environment/global warming	7	0.2 %
8	Health care	252	5.5 %
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	13	0.3 %
10	Taxes	10	0.2 %
11	War on Terrorism	14	0.3 %
12	Morals/values	38	0.8 %
13	Race Relations	60	1.3 %
14	Something else	93	2.0 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3236	70.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,327 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 14

Location: 91-92 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q13: Financially better/worse off

13 [ALL] We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you and your family are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? [If mention better/worse... "Is that much better off, or somewhat better... Is that much worse off, or somewhat worse.."]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much Better off	154	3.4 %
2	Somewhat Better off	339	7.4 %
3	Just about the same	2087	45.7 %
4	Somewhate Worse off	1281	28.1 %
5	Much Worse off	653	14.3 %
88	Don't know	31	0.7 %
99	Refused	18	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 93-94 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q14: Party ID 1

14. [ALL] Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a [ROTATE: Republican, Democrat, Independent] some other party, or do you not think in these terms?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	822	18.0 %
2	Democrat	2185	47.9 %
3	Independent	888	19.5 %
4	Other party	42	0.9 %
5	Do not think in these terms	507	11.1 %
88	Don't know	75	1.6 %
99	Refused	44	1.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>



Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 95-96 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q14A: Strong/Not strong party

14a. [IF 14 = 1 OR 2] Do you consider yourself to be a strong [INSERT ANSWER TO 14] or not so strong?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong partisan	2031	44.5 %
2	Not so strong partisan	908	19.9 %
88	Don't know	63	1.4 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1556	34.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,007 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 97-98 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q14B: Closer to Republican or Democratic party

14b. [IF 14 = 3 - 99] If you had to pick, do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	406	8.9 %
2	Democrat	711	15.6 %
3	Neither	230	5.0 %
4	Other party	21	0.5 %
88	Don't know	131	2.9 %
99	Refused	57	1.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3007	65.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,556 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 99-100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q15A: The U.S. should get our military troops out of Iraq as soon as possible.

15a. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: The U.S. should get our military troops out of Iraq as soon as possible.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2701	59.2 %
2	Somewhat agree	732	16.0 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	184	4.0 %
4	Somewhat disagree	351	7.7 %
5	Strongly disagree	494	10.8 %
88	Don't know	84	1.8 %
99	Refused	16	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,562 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 101-102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q15B: The federal government should guarantee health care for everyone.

15b. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: The federal government should guarantee health care for everyone.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2713	59.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	766	16.8 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	114	2.5 %
4	Somewhat disagree	327	7.2 %
5	Strongly disagree	565	12.4 %
88	Don't know	61	1.3 %
99	Refused	17	0.4 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 103-104 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q15C: Abortion should be legal in all cases.

15c. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: Abortion should be legal in all cases.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1074	23.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	670	14.7 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	313	6.9 %
4	Somewhat disagree	639	14.0 %
5	Strongly disagree	1678	36.8 %
88	Don't know	137	3.0 %
99	Refused	52	1.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 105-106 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### Q15D: Illegal immigrants should qualify for U.S. citizenship, if they meet certain req

15d. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: Illegal immigrants should qualify for U.S. citizenship, if they meet certain requirements like paying back taxes and fines, learning English, and pass a background check

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2453	53.8 %
2	Somewhat agree	1174	25.7 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	130	2.8 %
4	Somewhat disagree	213	4.7 %
5	Strongly disagree	471	10.3 %
88	Don't know	98	2.1 %
99	Refused	24	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 107-108 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q15E: We need an amendment to the U.S. Constitution that would ban marriages between g

15e. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: We need an amendment to the U.S. Constitution that would ban marriages between gays or between lesbians.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1336	29.3 %
2	Somewhat agree	329	7.2 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	430	9.4 %
4	Somewhat disagree	510	11.2 %
5	Strongly disagree	1719	37.7 %
88	Don't know	170	3.7 %
99	Refused	69	1.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 109-110 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q15F: Immigration has an overall positive impact on the [STATE] economy

15f. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: Immigration has an overall positive impact on the [STATE] economy

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1788	39.2 %
2	Somewhat agree	1106	24.2 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	319	7.0 %
4	Somewhat disagree	451	9.9 %
5	Strongly disagree	523	11.5 %
88	Don't know	345	7.6 %
99	Refused	31	0.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 111-112 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q15G: Illegal immigrants who grew up in the U.S. and graduated from High School here,

15g. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: Illegal immigrants who grew up in the U.S. and graduated from High School here, should qualify for instate college tuition

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	1867	40.9 %
2	Somewhat agree	1006	22.0 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	192	4.2 %
4	Somewhat disagree	485	10.6 %
5	Strongly disagree	846	18.5 %
88	Don't know	137	3.0 %
99	Refused	30	0.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q15H: Middle-class families should get a tax cut by having the wealthiest families in

15h. Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy? READ: Middle-class families should get a tax cut by having the wealthiest families in America pay a little more in taxes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	2324	50.9 %
2	Somewhat agree	860	18.8 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	217	4.8 %
4	Somewhat disagree	420	9.2 %
5	Strongly disagree	603	13.2 %
88	Don't know	115	2.5 %
99	Refused	24	0.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 115-116 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q16A: (Split A) To keep terrorist out, surveillance of U.S.-Canada border

16A [SPLIT A] In order to keep terrorists out, how important do you think it is to increase surveillance of the U.S.- Canada border?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	444	9.7 %
2	Very important	309	6.8 %
3	Somewhat important	275	6.0 %
4	Not at all important	83	1.8 %
88	Don't know	29	0.6 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3418	74.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,145 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 117-118 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q16B: (Split B) To keep terrorist out, surveillance of U.S.-Mexico border

16B [SPLIT B]. In order to keep terrorists out, how important do you think it is to increase surveillance of the U.S.- Mexico border?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	443	9.7 %
2	Very important	278	6.1 %
3	Somewhat important	292	6.4 %
4	Not at all important	100	2.2 %
88	Don't know	23	0.5 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3422	75.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,141 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 119-120 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q16C: (Split C) To keep illegal immigrants out, surveillance of U.S.-Canada border

16C [SPLIT C] In order to keep illegal immigrants out, how important do you think it is to increase surveillance of the U.S.- Canada border?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	326	7.1 %
2	Very important	276	6.0 %
3	Somewhat important	327	7.2 %
4	Not at all important	164	3.6 %
88	Don't know	39	0.9 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3426	75.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,137 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 121-122 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q16D: (Split D) To keep illegal immigrants out, surveillance of U.S.-Mexico border

16 [SPLIT D]. In order to keep illegal immigrants out, how important do you think it is to increase surveillance of the U.S.- Mexico border?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	388	8.5 %
2	Very important	269	5.9 %
3	Somewhat important	295	6.5 %
4	Not at all important	149	3.3 %
88	Don't know	33	0.7 %
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3423	75.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,140 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 123-124 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q17: Sign up for information from candidates

17. [ALL] During the primary or general election for President, did you sign up to receive updates or information from any candidates such as through their websites, at events, or by providing your email address, cell phone number for text messages, or your home phone number or address?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1102	24.2 %
2	No	3419	74.9 %
88	Don't know	29	0.6 %
99	Refused	13	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 125-126 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q18\_1: What sort of updates 1

18. [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail ... [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] RESPONSE 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Email	701	15.4 %
2	Text Message	36	0.8 %
3	Phone	118	2.6 %
4	Mail	203	4.4 %
5	Something else/ other	19	0.4 %
88	Don't Know	17	0.4 %
99	Refused	8	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3461	75.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,102 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 127-128 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q18\_2: What sort of updates 2

18. [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail ... [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] RESPONSE 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Email	16	0.4 %
2	Text Message	50	1.1 %
3	Phone	57	1.2 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Mail	56	1.2 %
5	Something else/ other	4	0.1 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4380	96.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 183 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

*Location:* 129-130 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q18\_3: What sort of updates 3

18. [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail ... [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] RESPONSE 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Email	1	0.0 %
2	Text Message	1	0.0 %
3	Phone	26	0.6 %
4	Mail	10	0.2 %
5	Something else/ other	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4524	99.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 39 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

*Location:* 131-132 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q18\_4: What sort of updates 4

18. [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail ... [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] RESPONSE 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Email	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Text Message	0	0.0 %
3	Phone	1	0.0 %
4	Mail	18	0.4 %
5	Something else/ other	0	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4543	99.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 20 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 133-134 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q18\_5: What sort of updates 5

18. [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail ... [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] RESPONSE 5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Email	0	0.0 %
2	Text Message	0	0.0 %
3	Phone	0	0.0 %
4	Mail	0	0.0 %
5	Something else/ other	2	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4561	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 5
- Maximum: 5

*Location:* 135-136 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_01: Which candidates sign up with 1

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	734	16.1 %
2	John McCain	168	3.7 %
3	Hillary Clinton	48	1.1 %
4	John Edwards	1	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	1	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	5	0.1 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	4	0.1 %
8	Ron Paul	1	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	4	0.1 %
10	Mitt Romney	4	0.1 %
11	Fred Thompson	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	108	2.4 %
99	Refused	23	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3461	75.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,102 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_02: Which candidates sign up with 2

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	51	1.1 %
2	John McCain	135	3.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	71	1.6 %
4	John Edwards	2	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	3	0.1 %
6	Mike Huckabee	4	0.1 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	1	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	2	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	2	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	4	0.1 %
11	Fred Thompson	3	0.1 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4285	93.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 278 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q19\_03: Which candidates sign up with 3

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	3	0.1 %
2	John McCain	9	0.2 %
3	Hillary Clinton	18	0.4 %
4	John Edwards	4	0.1 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	3	0.1 %
6	Mike Huckabee	4	0.1 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	2	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	7	0.2 %
10	Mitt Romney	1	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4511	98.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 141-142 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q19\_04: Which candidates sign up with 4

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	3	0.1 %
3	Hillary Clinton	2	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	6	0.1 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	1	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	2	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	0	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	2	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	2	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4544	99.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 19 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 2
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 143-144 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_05: Which candidates sign up with 5

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	1	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	4	0.1 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	1	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	1	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	0	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	2	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	2	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4552	99.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 11 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 4
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 145-146 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q19\_06: Which candidates sign up with 6

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	4	0.1 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	1	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	1	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	0	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	0	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4557	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 6
- Maximum: 9

*Location:* 147-148 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q19\_07: Which candidates sign up with 7

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	3	0.1 %
8	Ron Paul	1	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	0	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	1	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	0	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4558	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 5 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 7
- Maximum: 10

*Location:* 149-150 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_08: Which candidates sign up with 8

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	2	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	0	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	1	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	0	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4560	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 8
- Maximum: 10

*Location:* 151-152 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_09: Which candidates sign up with 9

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	0	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	1	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	1	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	0	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4561	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 9
- Maximum: 10

*Location:* 153-154 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_10: Which candidates sign up with 10

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 10



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	0	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	0	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	1	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4561	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 10
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 155-156 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q19\_11: Which candidates sign up with 11

19. [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE - CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, "ANYONE ELSE"] RESPONSE 11

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Barack Obama	0	0.0 %
2	John McCain	0	0.0 %
3	Hillary Clinton	0	0.0 %
4	John Edwards	0	0.0 %
5	Rudy Giuliani	0	0.0 %
6	Mike Huckabee	0	0.0 %
7	Dennis Kucinich	0	0.0 %
8	Ron Paul	0	0.0 %
9	Bill Richardson	0	0.0 %
10	Mitt Romney	0	0.0 %
11	Fred Thompson	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4562	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 11
- Maximum: 11

*Location:* 157-158 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q20: Asked to register to vote

20. [ALL] Over the past 12 months, were you asked to register or to vote by a candidate for office or a person working for a candidate, a representative of a political party, or someone from an organization working in your community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1567	34.3 %
2	No	2894	63.4 %
88	Don't know	87	1.9 %
99	Refused	15	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 159-160 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q21\_1: Who contacted you 1

21. [IF 20=1] And who was it that contacted you? [READ OPTIONS, ROTATE -- MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A candidate for office or a person working for a candiadte	522	11.4 %
2	A political party representative	432	9.5 %
3	An independent group not directly tied to the candidates	171	3.7 %
4	A non-partisan organization working in your community	201	4.4 %
88	Don't know	231	5.1 %
99	Refused	10	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2996	65.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,567 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 161-162 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q21\_2: Who contacted you 2

21. [IF 20=1] And who was it that contacted you? [READ OPTIONS, ROTATE -- MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A candidate for office or a person working for a candiadte	67	1.5 %
2	A political party representative	77	1.7 %
3	An independent group not directly tied to the candidates	33	0.7 %
4	A non-partisan organization working in your community	34	0.7 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4352	95.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 211 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 163-164 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q21\_3: Who contacted you 3

21. [IF 20=1] And who was it that contacted you? [READ OPTIONS, ROTATE -- MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A candidate for office or a person working for a candiadte	17	0.4 %
2	A political party representative	22	0.5 %
3	An independent group not directly tied to the candidates	43	0.9 %
4	A non-partisan organization working in your community	16	0.4 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4465	97.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 98 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 165-166 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q21\_4: Who contacted you 4

21. [IF 20=1] And who was it that contacted you? [READ OPTIONS, ROTATE -- MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A candidate for office or a person working for a candiadte	10	0.2 %
2	A political party representative	10	0.2 %
3	An independent group not direcetly tied to the candidates	13	0.3 %
4	A non-partisan organization working in your community	30	0.7 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	4500	98.6 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 63 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

Location: 167-168 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q22\_1: What party contacted you 1

22. [IF 21=1 OR 2] Were you contacted by the Democrats, Republicans, both parties, or by representatives of other parties such as Green or Libertarian? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	398	8.7 %
2	Republicans	102	2.2 %
3	Both Democrats and Republicans	453	9.9 %
4	Other party/ Independents	10	0.2 %
88	Don't know	34	0.7 %
99	Refused	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	3564	78.1 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 999 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 169-170 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q22\_2: What party contacted you 2

22. [IF 21=1 OR 2] Were you contacted by the Democrats, Republicans, both parties, or by representatives of other parties such as Green or Libertarian? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	8	0.2 %
2	Republicans	42	0.9 %
3	Both Democrats and Republicans	4	0.1 %
4	Other party/ Independents	19	0.4 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4490	98.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 73 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 4

Location: 171-172 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q22\_3: What party contacted you 3

22. [IF 21=1 OR 2] Were you contacted by the Democrats, Republicans, both parties, or by representatives of other parties such as Green or Libertarian? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	0	0.0 %
2	Republicans	1	0.0 %
3	Both Democrats and Republicans	9	0.2 %
4	Other party/ Independents	6	0.1 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4547	99.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 16 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 2
- Maximum: 4

Location: 173-174 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q22\_4: What party contacted you 4

22. [IF 21=1 OR 2] Were you contacted by the Democrats, Republicans, both parties, or by representatives of other parties such as Green or Libertarian? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats	0	0.0 %
2	Republicans	0	0.0 %
3	Both Democrats and Republicans	0	0.0 %
4	Other party/ Independents	4	0.1 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4559	99.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 4
- Maximum: 4

*Location:* 175-176 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q23\_1: How contacted 1

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	482	10.6 %
2	Telephone	675	14.8 %
3	In-person	282	6.2 %
4	E-mail	81	1.8 %
5	Text	3	0.1 %
8	Some other way	20	0.4 %
88	Don't know	15	0.3 %
99	Refused	9	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2996	65.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,567 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 177-178 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q23\_2: How contacted 2

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	163	3.6 %
2	Telephone	285	6.2 %
3	In-person	65	1.4 %
4	E-mail	53	1.2 %
5	Text	4	0.1 %
8	Some other way	3	0.1 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3990	87.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 573 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 8

Location: 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q23\_3: How contacted 3

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	32	0.7 %
2	Telephone	26	0.6 %
3	In-person	90	2.0 %
4	E-mail	40	0.9 %
5	Text	1	0.0 %
8	Some other way	9	0.2 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4365	95.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 198 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 8

Location: 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q23\_4: How contacted 4

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	2	0.0 %
2	Telephone	2	0.0 %
3	In-person	1	0.0 %
4	E-mail	44	1.0 %
5	Text	6	0.1 %
8	Some other way	2	0.0 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4506	98.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 57 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 8

*Location:* 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q23\_5: How contacted 5

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	0	0.0 %
2	Telephone	0	0.0 %
3	In-person	4	0.1 %
4	E-mail	0	0.0 %
5	Text	20	0.4 %
8	Some other way	1	0.0 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4538	99.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 25 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 3
- Maximum: 8

*Location:* 185-186 (width: 2; decimal: 0)



Variable Type: numeric

## Q23\_6: How contacted 6

23. [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way?  
[MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Response 6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mail or door flier	0	0.0 %
2	Telephone	0	0.0 %
3	In-person	0	0.0 %
4	E-mail	0	0.0 %
5	Text	0	0.0 %
8	Some other way	2	0.0 %
88	Don't know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4561	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 8
- Maximum: 8

Location: 187-188 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q24\_1: Race of contact 1

24. [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]?  
[ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Race of contact 1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	213	4.7 %
2	African American	157	3.4 %
3	Asian American	47	1.0 %
4	White	503	11.0 %
5	Other goup	26	0.6 %
88	Don't Know	603	13.2 %
99	Refused	18	0.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2996	65.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,567 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 189-190 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q24\_2: Race of contact 2

24. [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]?  
[ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Race of contact 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	33	0.7 %
2	African American	114	2.5 %
3	Asian American	11	0.2 %
4	White	82	1.8 %
5	Other goup	3	0.1 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4320	94.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 243 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Location: 191-192 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q24\_3: Race of contact 3

24. [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]?  
[ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Race of contact 3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	9	0.2 %
2	African American	11	0.2 %
3	Asian American	55	1.2 %
4	White	15	0.3 %
5	Other goup	2	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4471	98.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 92 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Location: 193-194 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q24\_4: Race of contact 4

24. [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]?  
[ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Race of contact 4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	5	0.1 %
2	African American	0	0.0 %
3	Asian American	1	0.0 %
4	White	45	1.0 %
5	Other goup	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4511	98.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Location: 195-196 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q24\_5: Race of contact 5

24. [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]?  
[ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES] RECORD: Race of contact 5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	0	0.0 %
2	African American	0	0.0 %
3	Asian American	0	0.0 %
4	White	1	0.0 %
5	Other goup	1	0.0 %
88	Don't Know	0	0.0 %
99	Refused	0	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	4561	100.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 4
- Maximum: 5

Location: 197-198 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q25A: Participation: Gone to political speeches

25A. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Gone to a political meeting or speech in support of a particular candidate or political party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	977	21.4 %
2	No	3569	78.2 %
88	Don't know	6	0.1 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 199-200 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q25B: Participation: Worked as volunteer

25B. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Worked as a volunteer for a particular candidate or political party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	577	12.6 %
2	No	3970	87.0 %
88	Don't know	3	0.1 %
99	Refused	13	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 201-202 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q25C: Participation: Donated money

25C. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Donated money to a candidate, political party or political organization?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1212	26.6 %
2	No	3327	72.9 %
88	Don't know	9	0.2 %
99	Refused	15	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 203-204 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q25D: Participation: Protest

25D. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Taken part in a protest or demonstration?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	333	7.3 %
2	No	4212	92.3 %
88	Don't know	5	0.1 %
99	Refused	13	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 205-206 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q25E: Participation: Convince friends/family to vote

25E. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Tried to convince your friends or family to vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3136	68.7 %
2	No	1408	30.9 %
88	Don't know	7	0.2 %
99	Refused	12	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 207-208 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q25F: Participation: Wrote a letter, email

25F. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Wrote a letter, or sent an email, to an elected official

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	981	21.5 %
2	No	3564	78.1 %
88	Don't know	7	0.2 %
99	Refused	11	0.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 209-210 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q25G: Participation: Used internet to learn about politics

25G. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: Used the Internet or Email to talk or learn about politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1839	40.3 %
2	No	2704	59.3 %
88	Don't know	8	0.2 %
99	Refused	12	0.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 211-212 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

### Q25G1: Participation: Visited/read website of pres cand

25G.1. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: [IF 25G=1] Visited and read the website of a presidential candidate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1302	28.5 %
2	No	530	11.6 %
88	Don't know	6	0.1 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2724	59.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,839 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 213-214 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q25G2: Participation: Read or posted comments about politics

25G.2. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: [IF 25G=1] Read or posted a comment about politics on a blog or website?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	720	15.8 %
2	No	1111	24.3 %
88	Don't know	7	0.2 %
99	Refused	1	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2724	59.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,839 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 215-216 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q25G3: Participation: Used social networking/list-servs

25G.3. [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following: [IF 25G=1] Used a social networking page or listserve to talk about politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	375	8.2 %
2	No	1448	31.7 %
88	Don't know	13	0.3 %
99	Refused	3	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2724	59.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,839 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 217-218 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q26: Remember campaign commercials

26. [ALL] Thinking just about the campaign commercials related to the presidential election. In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, do you remember seeing quite a few presidential advertisements, just a few ads, not too many ads, or you don't remember really seeing any ads about the presidential election here in [STATE NAME]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Quite a few ads	3483	76.3 %
2	Not too many ads	377	8.3 %
3	Just a few ads	419	9.2 %
4	Did not see any ads	161	3.5 %
88	Don't know	106	2.3 %
99	Refused	17	0.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 219-220 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## Q27: Positive or negative ads recall

27. [IF 26=1,2,3] Campaigns run many different types of ads on TV. In 2008, there were four general types of ads: [ROTATE: Positive ads in favor of Barack Obama; Positive ads in favor of John McCain] and [ROTATE: Negative ads attacking Obama; Negative ads attacking McCain]. As best you can remember, were most of the ads you saw positive ads supporting a candidate, or were they negative ads attacking a candidate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Positive ads	924	20.2 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Negative ads	2140	46.9 %
3	Equally positive and negative (BOTH)	1011	22.2 %
88	Don't know	198	4.3 %
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	284	6.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,279 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 221-222 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q28A: More positive ads in favor of Obama/McCain

28A. [IF 27=1 or 3] And did you see more positive ads in favor of [ROTATE: Barack Obama or John McCain]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Ads in favor of Obama	1127	24.7 %
2	Ads in favor of McCain	161	3.5 %
3	Equal number about Obama and McCain	552	12.1 %
4	Don't know/hard to say	51	1.1 %
88	Don't know	41	0.9 %
99	Refused	3	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2628	57.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 223-224 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q28B: More negative ads attacking Obama/McCain

28B. [IF 27 =2 or 3] And did you see more negative ads attacking [ROTATE: Barack Obama or John McCain]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Ads attacking Obama	1403	30.7 %
2	Ads attacking McCain	627	13.7 %
3	Equal number about Obama and McCain	988	21.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Don't know/hard to say	72	1.6 %
88	Don't know	58	1.3 %
99	Refused	3	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1412	30.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,151 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 225-226 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q29A: Ethnic specific ads among non-white

29A. [IF S3=NON WHITE] In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, did you see any campaign commercials by the presidential candidates specifically addressing [INSERT ETHNIC GROUP] voters?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, saw ads about Latinos/Blacks/Asians	916	20.1 %
2	No, did not see these types of ads	2237	49.0 %
88	Can't remember/Don't know	270	5.9 %
99	Refused	18	0.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1122	24.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,441 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 227-228 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q29B\_ORDER: Rotation question

Rotation question

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Latino	372	8.2 %
2	Black	375	8.2 %
3	Asian	375	8.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3441	75.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,122 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 3

Location: 229-229 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q29B: Ethnic specific ads among Whites

29B. [IF WHITE] In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, did you see any campaign commercials by the presidential candidates specifically addressing [ASIAN/LATINO/BLACK] voters?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, saw ads about Latinos/Blacks/Asians	142	3.1 %
2	No, did not see these types of ads	876	19.2 %
88	Can't remember/Don't know	102	2.2 %
99	Refused	2	0.0 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3441	75.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,122 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 230-231 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q30: Skin color appearance

30. [ALL] Okay, now for something a little different. We are interested in how you would describe your appearance. How would you describe your skin color with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very light	966	21.2 %
2	Light	1159	25.4 %
3	Medium	1757	38.5 %
4	Dark	303	6.6 %
5	Very dark	115	2.5 %
88	Don't know	87	1.9 %
99	Refused	176	3.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 232-233 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q31: Skin color Tiger Woods

31. [ALL] Now, thinking about the golfer Tiger Woods' appearance, how would you describe his skin color using the same scale, with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very light	79	1.7 %
2	Light	452	9.9 %
3	Medium	1608	35.2 %
4	Dark	1318	28.9 %
5	Very dark	359	7.9 %
88	Don't know	538	11.8 %
99	Refused	209	4.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 234-235 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

### Q32: Skin color Obama

32. [ALL] Now, thinking about Democratic candidate Barack Obama's appearance, how would you describe his skin color using the same scale, with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very light	104	2.3 %
2	Light	495	10.8 %
3	Medium	1723	37.8 %
4	Dark	1515	33.2 %
5	Very dark	363	8.0 %
88	Don't know	155	3.4 %
99	Refused	208	4.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 236-237 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q33A: Racial competition: African Americans

33A. Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S3) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much competition do (Answer to S3) have with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? How about with African Americans? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with African Americans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of competition	1056	23.1 %
2	Some competition	1538	33.7 %
3	Little competition	864	18.9 %
4	No competition	552	12.1 %
88	Don't know	465	10.2 %
99	Refused	88	1.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 238-239 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q33B: Racial competition: Whites

33. Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S3) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much competition do (Answer to S3) have with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? How about with Whites? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with Whites.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of competition	1973	43.2 %
2	Some competition	1088	23.8 %
3	Little competition	530	11.6 %
4	No competition	463	10.1 %
88	Don't know	412	9.0 %
99	Refused	97	2.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 240-241 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q33C: Racial competition: Asian Americans

33. Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S3) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much competition do (Answer to S3) have with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? How about with Asian Americans? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with Asian Americans.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of competition	959	21.0 %
2	Some competition	1271	27.9 %
3	Little competition	1004	22.0 %
4	No competition	657	14.4 %
88	Don't know	575	12.6 %
99	Refused	97	2.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 242-243 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q33D: Racial competition: Latinos

33. Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S3) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much competition do (Answer to S3) have with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? How about with Latinos? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with Latinos.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of competition	1056	23.1 %
2	Some competition	1434	31.4 %
3	Little competition	925	20.3 %
4	No competition	566	12.4 %
88	Don't know	495	10.8 %
99	Refused	87	1.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 244-245 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q34A: Blacks: Work hard

34A. Do you think "work hard" describes: almost all Blacks, most Blacks, some Blacks, few Blacks, almost no Blacks in the United States today

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Almost all blacks	610	13.4 %
2	Most blacks	1286	28.2 %
3	Some blacks	1505	33.0 %
4	Few blacks	423	9.3 %
5	Almost no blacks	98	2.1 %
88	Don't know	413	9.1 %
99	Refused	228	5.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 246-247 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q34B: Blacks: Easy to get along with

34B. For Blacks, do you think "it is easy to get along with them" describes: almost all Blacks, most Blacks, some Blacks, few Blacks, almost no Blacks.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Almost all blacks	1077	23.6 %
2	Most blacks	1501	32.9 %
3	Some blacks	1108	24.3 %
4	Few blacks	253	5.5 %
5	Almost no blacks	91	2.0 %
88	Don't know	341	7.5 %
99	Refused	192	4.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 248-249 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q35A: Latinos: Work hard

35A. Do you think "work hard" describes: almost all Hispanics or Latinos, most Latinos, some Latinos, few Latinos, almost no Latinos in the United States today

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Almost all Latinos	1078	23.6 %
2	Most Latinos	1713	37.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Some Latinos	1045	22.9 %
4	Few Latinos	143	3.1 %
5	Almost no Latinos	24	0.5 %
88	Don't know	345	7.6 %
99	Refused	215	4.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 250-251 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q35B: Latinos: Easy to get along with

35B. For Hispanics or Latinos, do you think "it is easy to get along with them" describes: almost all Hispanics or Latinos, most Latinos, some Latinos, few Latinos, almost no Latinos

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Almost all Latinos	1261	27.6 %
2	Most Latinos	1553	34.0 %
3	Some Latinos	989	21.7 %
4	Few Latinos	161	3.5 %
5	Almost no Latinos	53	1.2 %
88	Don't know	351	7.7 %
99	Refused	195	4.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 252-253 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q37: Doing well based on group

37. How much does your "doing well" depend on other [INSERT GROUP / S3] also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1206	26.4 %
2	Some	1248	27.4 %
3	A little	567	12.4 %
4	Not at all	1067	23.4 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Don't know	350	7.7 %
99	Refused	125	2.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 254-255 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q38: Discrimination: group

38. Do you think discrimination in the United States preventing [GROUP / S3] from succeeding is a major problem, minor problem, or not a problem at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Major problem	1482	32.5 %
2	Minor problem	1751	38.4 %
3	Not a problem	1124	24.6 %
88	Don't know	147	3.2 %
99	Refused	59	1.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 256-257 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

### Q39: Discrimination: personally

39. Have you personally experienced discrimination, or been treated unfairly because of your race or ethnicity?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2015	44.2 %
2	No	2441	53.5 %
88	Don't know	61	1.3 %
99	Refused	46	1.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 258-259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

#### Q40: State policies fav/unfav to immigrants in state

40. Thinking about the immigrant population in your state, would you characterize the {STATE} policies as favorable or unfavorable towards immigrants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favorable	2561	56.1 %
2	Unfavorable	1211	26.5 %
88	Don't know	737	16.2 %
99	Refused	54	1.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 260-261 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

#### Q41: Obama address/avoid issues of concern

41. Do you think that as a candidate Obama has been [ROTATE: mostly addressing issues of special concern to [ANS TO S3]; or mostly avoiding those issues?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Addressing those issues	2008	44.0 %
2	Avoiding those issues	1350	29.6 %
88	Don't know	1086	23.8 %
99	Refused	119	2.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 262-263 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

#### Q42: Obama concerned with issues or broad appeal

42. [IF 41=1] Do you think that's because [ROTATE: as a minority candidate he's more concerned with [ANS TO S3] issues; or because he is trying to appeal to all groups regardless of their race]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More concerned with issues	490	10.7 %
2	Trying to appeal regardless of race	1401	30.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Don't know	110	2.4 %
99	Refused	7	0.2 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2555	56.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 264-265 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q42A: Obama address issues because concerned/electoral

42A. [IF 41=1] Do you think he addressed [ANS TO S3] issues because [ROTATE: he sincerely cares about [S3's]; or because he knew he needed [S3] votes to win the election]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Sincerely cares about group	1513	33.2 %
2	Needed those votes to win	329	7.2 %
88	Don't know	151	3.3 %
99	Refused	15	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2555	56.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 266-267 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q43: Obama address issues because concerned/White voters/transcend

43. [IF 41=2] Do you think that's because [ROTATE: he's not concerned about [ANS TO S3] issues; because he thinks that addressing [ANS TO S3] issues would be unpopular with White voters, or because he's trying to transcend or reach beyond racial issues?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not concerned about those issues	264	5.8 %
2	Unpopular with White voters	331	7.3 %
3	Trying to transcend racial issues	533	11.7 %
88	Don't know	210	4.6 %
99	Refused	12	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	3213	70.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,350 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 268-269 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q44: Obama represents progress for Blacks

44. Do you think Obama's election as president [ROTATE: represents progress for all Blacks in America more generally; or do you think it is only a single case that does not reflect broader progress for Blacks overall]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Progress for all Blacks	3124	68.5 %
2	Only a single case	880	19.3 %
88	Don't know	461	10.1 %
99	Refused	98	2.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 270-271 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q45\_SPLIT: Split E/Split F indicator

Split E/Split F indicator

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Generally speaking...	2287	50.1 %
2	With Obama's election...	2276	49.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

*Location:* 272-273 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## Q45: Blacks achieve racial equality

45E. [SPLIT E] With Obama's election as President do you think Blacks...? 45F. [SPLIT F] Generally speaking, do you think Blacks...?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have achieved racial equality	1059	23.2 %
2	Will soon achieve racial equality	2045	44.8 %
3	Will not achieve racial equality your lifetime	612	13.4 %
4	Will neverachieve racial equality	291	6.4 %
88	Don't know	453	9.9 %
99	Refused	103	2.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 274-275 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q46: Play down racial identity

46. Do you think [ANS TO S3's] have to play down their racial identity to get ahead in American society, or do you think [ANS TO S3's] can express their racial identity and still get ahead?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Play down their racial identity	1072	23.5 %
2	Can express their racial identity	2903	63.6 %
88	Don't know	467	10.2 %
99	Refused	121	2.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 276-277 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## Q48: Which party has most seats in U.S. House

48. Do you happen to know which political party currently has the most seats in the United States House of Representatives in Washington D.C.?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	333	7.3 %
2	Democratic	3192	70.0 %
3	Both	52	1.1 %
4	Neither	6	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	Don't know	952	20.9 %
99	Refused	28	0.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 278-279 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q49: Political office held by John Roberts

49. And do you know what political office is currently held by John Roberts? [DO NOT READ OPTIONS]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Chief Justice Supreme Court	889	19.5 %
2	Judge/Justice/Court	83	1.8 %
3	Mention any other office	41	0.9 %
88	Don't know	3513	77.0 %
99	Refused	37	0.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 280-281 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## Q51: Trust government to do right

51. And finally, how much of the time do you trust the government in Washington D.C. to do what is right? Just about always, most of the time, only sometimes, or never at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just about always	297	6.5 %
2	Most of the time	989	21.7 %
3	Only sometimes	2494	54.7 %
4	Never at all	527	11.5 %
88	Don't know	208	4.6 %
99	Refused	48	1.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 282-283 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D1L: Latinos: Trace family history

D1L. [IF S3=1] Hispanics and Latinos have their roots in many different countries in Latin America. To what country do you or your family trace your ancestry? [OPEN-ENDED WITH LIST OF ALL COUNTRIES]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Argentina	12	0.3 %
2	Bolivia	6	0.1 %
3	Chile	8	0.2 %
4	Colombia	28	0.6 %
5	Costa Rica	6	0.1 %
6	Cuba	71	1.6 %
7	Dominican Republic	42	0.9 %
8	Ecuador	23	0.5 %
9	El Salvador	50	1.1 %
10	Guatemala	25	0.5 %
11	Honduras	15	0.3 %
12	Mexico	759	16.6 %
13	Nicaragua	13	0.3 %
14	Panama	5	0.1 %
15	Paraguay	1	0.0 %
16	Peru	21	0.5 %
17	Puerto Rico	162	3.6 %
18	Spain	120	2.6 %
19	Uruguay	3	0.1 %
20	Venezuela	6	0.1 %
21	United States/America	85	1.9 %
22	Other country	54	1.2 %
23	None	9	0.2 %
88	Don't Know	27	0.6 %
99	Refused	26	0.6 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2986	65.4 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,577 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 284-285 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D1A: Asians: Trace family history

D1A. [IF S3=2] Asian Americans have their roots in many different countries in Asia. To what country do you or your family trace your ancestry? [OPEN-ENDED WITH LIST OF ALL COUNTRIES]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	China (mainland)	141	3.1 %
2	Taiwan/Chinese Taipei	51	1.1 %
3	Korea	119	2.6 %
4	The Philippines	91	2.0 %
5	Japan	75	1.6 %
6	India	182	4.0 %
7	Vietnam	141	3.1 %
8	Other country	47	1.0 %
88	Don't know	18	0.4 %
99	Refused	54	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	3644	79.9 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 919 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 286-287 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D2: Born in U.S. or elsewhere

D2. [ALL] Were you born in the United States, [IF S3=1] "on the island of Puerto Rico," or in another country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	United States	3071	67.3 %
2	Another country	1340	29.4 %
3	Puerto Rico	78	1.7 %
88	Don't know	4	0.1 %
99	Refused	70	1.5 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 288-289 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric



---

## D2A: What year naturalized citizen.

D2A. [IF D2=2] In what year did you become a naturalized U.S. citizen?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Before 1950	10	0.2 %
2	1950 to 1954	6	0.1 %
3	1955 to 1959	10	0.2 %
4	1960 to 1964	18	0.4 %
5	1965 to 1969	28	0.6 %
6	1970 to 1974	59	1.3 %
7	1975 to 1979	83	1.8 %
8	1980 to 1984	142	3.1 %
9	1985 to 1989	123	2.7 %
10	1990 to 1994	148	3.2 %
11	1995 to 1999	257	5.6 %
12	2000 to 2004	213	4.7 %
13	2005 and after	136	3.0 %
99	Refused	107	2.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3223	70.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,340 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 290-291 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## D2B: What year did you start living in U.S.

D2B. [IF D2=2 OR 3] Thinking back to the period after you first migrated to the United States, can you tell me in what year you started to live in the U.S. ?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Before 1950	27	0.6 %
2	1950 to 1954	21	0.5 %
3	1955 to 1959	47	1.0 %
4	1960 to 1964	83	1.8 %
5	1965 to 1969	126	2.8 %
6	1970 to 1974	166	3.6 %
7	1975 to 1979	194	4.3 %
8	1980 to 1984	190	4.2 %
9	1985 to 1989	192	4.2 %
10	1990 to 1994	183	4.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	1995 to 1999	89	2.0 %
12	2000 to 2004	29	0.6 %
13	2005 and after	5	0.1 %
99	Refused	66	1.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3145	68.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,418 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 292-293 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### D3: Parents born in U.S.

D3. [IF D2=1]. How about your parents, were they born in the United States or in another country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Both parents born in United States	2427	53.2 %
2	Both parents born another country	386	8.5 %
3	1 parent born in U.S.	58	1.3 %
88	Don't Know	7	0.2 %
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1679	36.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,884 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 294-295 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

### D4: How many people over 18 live in household

D4. [ALL] How many total people over the age of 18 live in your household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	816	17.9 %
2	-	1962	43.0 %
3	-	782	17.1 %
4	-	437	9.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	198	4.3 %
6	-	87	1.9 %
7	-	30	0.7 %
8	-	29	0.6 %
9	-	18	0.4 %
10	-	31	0.7 %
99	Refused	173	3.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 6.22
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99
- Standard Deviation: 18.48

*Location:* 296-297 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D5: Physical health

D5. How would you rate your overall physical health -- excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent	1060	23.2 %
2	Very good	1405	30.8 %
3	Good	1155	25.3 %
4	Fair	616	13.5 %
5	Poor	214	4.7 %
88	Don't know	19	0.4 %
99	Refused	94	2.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 298-299 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D6: Education

D6. What is the highest level of education you completed? Just stop me when I read the correct category.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Grades 1-8	254	5.6 %
2	Some High School	236	5.2 %
3	High School graduate	771	16.9 %
4	Some College/ Technical School	1200	26.3 %
5	College graduate	1163	25.5 %
6	Post-graduate education	764	16.7 %
88	Don't know	39	0.9 %
99	Refused	136	3.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 300-301 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D7: Religion

D7. When it comes to religion, do you consider yourself to be Catholic, Protestant, other Christian, Mormon, Hindu, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Catholic	1431	31.4 %
2	Protestant	657	14.4 %
3	Christian	1116	24.5 %
4	Mormon	13	0.3 %
5	Hindu	83	1.8 %
6	Other	526	11.5 %
7	Atheist or agnostic	63	1.4 %
8	None	314	6.9 %
9	Buddhist	91	2.0 %
88	Don't Know	106	2.3 %
99	Refused	163	3.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 302-303 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D8A: Evangelical

D8A. [IF D7 = 2, 3, 4, 7] Do you consider yourself an evangelical or born-again Christian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1251	27.4 %
2	No	935	20.5 %
88	Don't know	103	2.3 %
99	Refused	23	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2251	49.3 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,312 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 304-305 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D8B: Charismatic community

D8B. [IF D7=1] Are you part of a charismatic community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	427	9.4 %
2	No	910	19.9 %
88	Don't know	88	1.9 %
99	Refused	6	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3132	68.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,431 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 306-307 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D9: Religious attendance

D9. [IF D7 = 1 - 6 ] Do you attend religious services: at least every week, almost every week, a few times a month, only a few times during the year, hardly ever or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	At least every week	1394	30.6 %
2	Almost every week	576	12.6 %
3	A few times a month	592	13.0 %
4	Only a few times a year	578	12.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Hardly ever	402	8.8 %
6	Never	253	5.5 %
88	Don't know	17	0.4 %
99	Refused	14	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	737	16.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,826 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 308-309 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D10: Race of other people attending church

D10. Are the other people who attend your church [ROTATE: mostly Latino, mostly White, mostly Black, mostly Asian] or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly Latino	652	14.3 %
2	Mostly White	960	21.0 %
3	Mostly Black	495	10.8 %
4	Mostly Asian	280	6.1 %
5	Mixed/diverse	951	20.8 %
6	Other	70	1.5 %
88	Don't know	122	2.7 %
99	Refused	43	0.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	990	21.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 3,573 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 310-311 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D11: Language of religious services

D11. [IF D7=1-6] [IF S3=1 or 2] Are the religious services you attend primarily in English, primarily in [IF S3=1; Spanish] [IF S3=2; another language] or bilingual in two languages?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	English	815	17.9 %
2	Spanish	329	7.2 %
3	Another language	181	4.0 %
4	Bilingual/both/mixed	540	11.8 %
88	Don't know	15	0.3 %
99	Refused	13	0.3 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	2670	58.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,893 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 312-313 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D12: Ideology

D12. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, a Conservative, a Moderate, or have you not thought much about this? [If "Liberal/Conservative" reply, WAIT AND ASK: Would you call yourself very [Conservative/Liberal] or not? If "Moderate" reply, ASK: Would you say that you are slightly Liberal, slightly Conservative, or neither?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very liberal	190	4.2 %
2	Liberal	762	16.7 %
3	Slightly liberal	192	4.2 %
4	Moderate	1084	23.8 %
5	Slightly conservative	192	4.2 %
6	Conservative	682	14.9 %
7	Very Conservative	209	4.6 %
88	Not thought about it/Don't know	1092	23.9 %
99	Refused	160	3.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 314-315 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D13: Veteran/currently serving U.S. armed forces

D13. [ALL] Are you or is anyone in your household a veteran of the U.S. armed forces or currently serving on active duty in the U.S. military or in the National Guard? [IF NEEDED, PROBE: Is that you or someone else? Is that currently serving or a veteran?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I am currently serving in the military or National Guard	147	3.2 %
2	Yes, I am veteran of the U.S. armed forces	536	11.7 %
3	Yes, someone in my household is currently serving in the military or National Guard	223	4.9 %
4	Yes, someone in my household is a veteran of the U.S. armed forces	374	8.2 %
5	Yes, BOTH (MEANING MORE THAN ONE HOUSEHOLD MEMBER)	32	0.7 %
6	No	3112	68.2 %
88	Not thought about it/Don't know	18	0.4 %
99	Refused	121	2.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 316-317 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D14: Own or rent home

D14. Do you currently own or rent your home?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Own	3498	76.7 %
2	Rent	815	17.9 %
3	Something else	65	1.4 %
88	Don't know	27	0.6 %
99	Refused	158	3.5 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 318-319 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D15: Internet access

D15. [ONLY IF NO ON 25G] Do you ever go online to access the Internet or World Wide Web or to send and receive email?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1385	30.4 %
1	Yes	1234	27.0 %
88	Don't know	8	0.2 %
99	Refused	77	1.7 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	1859	40.7 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,704 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 320-321 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D16: Employment status

D16. What is your employment status? Are you currently: working full-time, working part-time, laid off, a student, a homemaker, retired, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Full time	2014	44.1 %
2	Part time	374	8.2 %
3	Laid off	202	4.4 %
4	Student	144	3.2 %
5	Homemaker	304	6.7 %
6	Retired	1211	26.5 %
7	Something else	153	3.4 %
88	Don't know	15	0.3 %
99	Refused	146	3.2 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 322-323 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## D17: Average hours of work per week

D17. On average, how many hours a week do you work, including commuting to and from work? \_\_\_\_\_

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	None	99	2.2 %
1	1 - 10 hours	128	2.8 %
2	11 - 20 hours	186	4.1 %
3	21 - 30 hours	211	4.6 %
4	31 - 40 hours	730	16.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	41 - 50 hours	804	17.6 %
6	51 - 60 hours	291	6.4 %
7	61 - 70 hours	83	1.8 %
8	71 - 80 hours	40	0.9 %
9	Over 80 hours	52	1.1 %
99	Refused	222	4.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	1717	37.6 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 2,846 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 99

Location: 324-326 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D18: How would you spend extra day

D18. [ALL] If you had one extra hour per day, how would you spend it? For example, would you spend [ROTATE: more time with family and friends, more time at work, more time in your community, more time doing something by yourself,]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Family and Friends	2381	52.2 %
2	Work	150	3.3 %
3	Community	464	10.2 %
4	By yourself	1152	25.2 %
5	Something Else	192	4.2 %
88	Don't Know	84	1.8 %
99	Refused	140	3.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 327-328 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D19: Years lived at present address

D19. [ALL] How many years have you lived at your present address? [ RECORD NUMBER OF YEARS: \_\_\_\_\_; REFUSED=9999 ]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Less than a year	34	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1 year	187	4.1 %
2	2 years	199	4.4 %
3	3 years	281	6.2 %
4	4 years	251	5.5 %
5	5 years	322	7.1 %
6	6 years	194	4.3 %
7	7 years	159	3.5 %
8	8 years	215	4.7 %
9	9 years	91	2.0 %
10	10 years	299	6.6 %
20	11 - 20 years	1071	23.5 %
30	21 - 30 years	539	11.8 %
40	31 - 40 years	321	7.0 %
50	41 - 50 years	147	3.2 %
60	Over 50 years	71	1.6 %
99	Refused	182	4.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 99

Location: 329-330 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D20: Addresses in past 5 years

D20. [IF D19 = 5 OR LESS] In the past 5 years, how many different addresses have you lived at? [ RECORD NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_; REFUSED=99 ]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	40	0.9 %
1	-	333	7.3 %
2	-	637	14.0 %
3	-	132	2.9 %
4	-	54	1.2 %
5	-	41	0.9 %
6	More than 5 addresses	20	0.4 %
99	Refused	17	0.4 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	3289	72.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 1,274 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 3.32
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 99
- Standard Deviation: 11.19

*Location:* 331-332 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D21: Driver's license

D21. [ALL] Do you currently have an up to date driver's license or state-issued photo identification card?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4284	93.9 %
2	No	132	2.9 %
88	Don't know	4	0.1 %
99	Refused	143	3.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 333-334 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D21A: Driver's license certainty

D21A. [IF D21=1] Are you certain that it is up to date and has not expired?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, certain that it is current	4154	91.0 %
2	No	113	2.5 %
88	Don't know	12	0.3 %
99	Refused	5	0.1 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	279	6.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,284 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 335-336 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D21B: Driver's license full legal name

D21B. [IF D21A=1] A lot of people go by a nickname or change their name when they get married. Is the name that is printed on your driver's license or ID card your full legal name, exactly as it would appear on the [STATE] voter registration record, or is it slightly different?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, name is correct	3977	87.2 %
2	No	143	3.1 %
88	Don't know	15	0.3 %
99	Refused	19	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	409	9.0 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,154 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 337-338 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D21C: Driver's license contain exact address

D21C. [IF D21A=1] And does your ID contain your exact current address where you live?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3926	86.0 %
2	No	180	3.9 %
88	Don't know	12	0.3 %
99	Refused	36	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	409	9.0 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,154 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 339-340 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D22: Neighborhood racial composition

D22. Would you describe the neighborhood where you live as mostly Black, mostly White, mostly Hispanic, mostly Asian, or mixed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly Black	391	8.6 %
2	Mostly White	1319	28.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Mostly Hispanic	430	9.4 %
4	Mostly Asian	105	2.3 %
5	Mixed	2087	45.7 %
88	Don't know/ No opinion	53	1.2 %
99	No Answer, Refused	178	3.9 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 341-342 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D22A: Neighborhood racial density

D22A. Is it almost entirely [ANS TO D22], or is it mostly [ANS TO D22]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Almost entirely	1842	40.4 %
2	Mostly but not entirely	2342	51.3 %
88	Don't know/ No opinion	127	2.8 %
99	No Answer, Refused	21	0.5 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
.	-	231	5.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,332 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

Location: 343-344 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

## D24: Income

D24. [ALL] And finally, what was your total combined household income in 2007 before taxes. This question is completely confidential and just used to help classify the responses. Just stop me when I read the correct category.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than \$20,000	463	10.1 %
2	\$20,000 to \$39,999	631	13.8 %
3	\$40,000 to \$59,999	636	13.9 %
4	\$60,000 to \$79, 999	485	10.6 %
5	\$80, 000 to \$99,999	339	7.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	\$100,000 to \$150,000	388	8.5 %
7	More than \$150,000	254	5.6 %
88	Don't know	372	8.2 %
99	Refused	995	21.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 99

*Location:* 345-346 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## D25: Gender

Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	1969	43.2 %
2	Female	2594	56.8 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

*Location:* 347-347 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## BATTLEGROUND: 08 Prez Battleground

2008 Prez Battleground dummy code: 1 = inside presidential battleground

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Outside battleground state	2788	61.1 %
1	Inside battleground state	1775	38.9 %
	<b>Missing Data</b>		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

*Location:* 348-349 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

*Variable Type:* numeric

*(Range of) Missing Values:* 99

---

## AGE\_FINAL: Age Category

Age Category (Combined Catalist and Response)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	Under 20 years	80	1.8 %
20	20 to 24 years	213	4.7 %
25	25 to 29 years	199	4.4 %
30	30 to 34 years	264	5.8 %
35	35 to 39 years	296	6.5 %
40	40 to 44 years	351	7.7 %
45	45 to 49 years	442	9.7 %
50	50 to 54 years	559	12.3 %
55	55 to 59 years	556	12.2 %
60	60 to 64 years	504	11.0 %
65	65 to 69 years	402	8.8 %
70	70 to 74 years	286	6.3 %
75	75 to 79 years	206	4.5 %
80	80 to 84 years	121	2.7 %
85	85 to 89 years	52	1.1 %
90	90 years and over	15	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	17	0.4 %
	Total	4,563	100%

Based upon 4,546 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Minimum: 15
- Maximum: 90

Location: 350-351 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

---

## WT\_LAT\_NAT: Weight Latino Data

Weight Latino Data

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0654176473618	-	5	0.1 %
0.0716697052121	-	1	0.0 %
0.0818810835481	-	2	0.0 %
0.0840770155191	-	1	0.0 %
0.0882299616933	-	2	0.0 %
0.0897065773606	-	1	0.0 %
0.0941375866532	-	1	0.0 %
0.0966622233391	-	1	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.1104345023632	-	3	0.1 %
0.1127196475863	-	1	0.0 %
0.1150864139199	-	1	0.0 %
0.1178288757801	-	3	0.1 %
0.1207710504532	-	2	0.0 %
0.1209888756275	-	1	0.0 %
0.1236874386668	-	1	0.0 %
0.1252748072147	-	1	0.0 %
0.1258031725883	-	2	0.0 %
0.1269650608301	-	1	0.0 %
0.1286344826221	-	1	0.0 %
0.1303991675377	-	1	0.0 %
0.1338962912560	-	1	0.0 %
0.1378263533115	-	1	0.0 %
0.1410874128342	-	1	0.0 %
0.1419399082661	-	1	0.0 %
0.1481360942125	-	1	0.0 %
0.1511650830507	-	1	0.0 %
0.1542551964521	-	1	0.0 %
0.1574636399746	-	2	0.0 %
0.1589179188013	-	2	0.0 %
0.1589648723602	-	2	0.0 %
0.1597400754690	-	1	0.0 %
0.1606187820435	-	1	0.0 %
0.1622063070536	-	4	0.1 %
0.1631864160299	-	1	0.0 %
0.1646969914436	-	1	0.0 %
0.1668194830418	-	1	0.0 %
0.1689603924751	-	4	0.1 %
0.1702713668346	-	1	0.0 %
0.1724488437176	-	2	0.0 %
0.1737924963236	-	2	0.0 %
0.1741573810577	-	1	0.0 %
0.1749124675989	-	1	0.0 %
0.1758717149496	-	1	0.0 %
0.1779892444611	-	1	0.0 %
0.1810338348150	-	2	0.0 %
0.1832800954580	-	1	0.0 %
0.1850396990776	-	1	0.0 %
0.1854169964790	-	1	0.0 %
0.1864369511604	-	1	0.0 %
0.1874062269926	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	4,563	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0206564404536
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 5
- Standard Deviation: 0.4850210569617

Location: 352-366 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

## WT\_ASN\_NAT: Weight Asian Data

Weight Asian Data

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.1939946562052	-	1	0.0 %
0.1957405805588	-	1	0.0 %
0.1969534158707	-	2	0.0 %
0.2079450339079	-	1	0.0 %
0.2138791084290	-	1	0.0 %
0.2163448631763	-	1	0.0 %
0.2193544059992	-	1	0.0 %
0.2253129035234	-	1	0.0 %
0.2330311834812	-	1	0.0 %
0.2383957058191	-	2	0.0 %
0.2424443364143	-	3	0.1 %
0.2461917847395	-	1	0.0 %
0.2490300387144	-	1	0.0 %
0.2509394288063	-	2	0.0 %
0.2524942755699	-	7	0.2 %
0.2575607597828	-	1	0.0 %
0.2642421126366	-	1	0.0 %
0.2654459476471	-	1	0.0 %
0.2658871412277	-	1	0.0 %
0.2665855288506	-	1	0.0 %
0.2666202783585	-	1	0.0 %
0.2727224826813	-	4	0.1 %
0.2773541212082	-	3	0.1 %
0.2790726125240	-	5	0.1 %
0.2816411256790	-	1	0.0 %
0.2842261493206	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.2888511121273	-	6	0.1 %
0.2926103174686	-	2	0.0 %
0.2946855723858	-	1	0.0 %
0.2966808080673	-	4	0.1 %
0.2987849116325	-	3	0.1 %
0.3014301359653	-	2	0.0 %
0.3014593124390	-	1	0.0 %
0.3041724264622	-	2	0.0 %
0.3056232631207	-	11	0.2 %
0.3143048286438	-	1	0.0 %
0.3192565143108	-	8	0.2 %
0.3219929337502	-	1	0.0 %
0.3270891308784	-	1	0.0 %
0.3271317780018	-	1	0.0 %
0.3279103338718	-	2	0.0 %
0.3279765546322	-	1	0.0 %
0.3293624520302	-	1	0.0 %
0.3302359580994	-	2	0.0 %
0.3331918716431	-	2	0.0 %
0.3344467878342	-	1	0.0 %
0.3346188962460	-	1	0.0 %
0.3361905813217	-	1	0.0 %
0.3364621400833	-	1	0.0 %
0.3377941250801	-	4	0.1 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 0.9835377016560
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 7
- Standard Deviation: 0.3658190622103

*Location:* 367-381 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## WT\_BLK\_NAT: Weight Black Data

Weight Black Data

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.1308572888374	-	1	0.0 %
0.1629604995251	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.1651257574558	-	2	0.0 %
0.1670055985451	-	2	0.0 %
0.1722534745932	-	2	0.0 %
0.1802453100681	-	1	0.0 %
0.1859092116356	-	3	0.1 %
0.1880256682634	-	1	0.0 %
0.1955240815878	-	1	0.0 %
0.2039639651775	-	1	0.0 %
0.2078058719635	-	2	0.0 %
0.2103731930256	-	1	0.0 %
0.2158659100533	-	2	0.0 %
0.2226491421461	-	2	0.0 %
0.2312163859606	-	2	0.0 %
0.2315361648798	-	4	0.1 %
0.2384819686413	-	10	0.2 %
0.2388118058443	-	4	0.1 %
0.2523125708103	-	1	0.0 %
0.2587868869305	-	1	0.0 %
0.2588535249233	-	1	0.0 %
0.2591705322266	-	2	0.0 %
0.2603183090687	-	3	0.1 %
0.2669875621796	-	1	0.0 %
0.2673145234585	-	1	0.0 %
0.2684983909130	-	9	0.2 %
0.2703348994255	-	2	0.0 %
0.2718412280083	-	1	0.0 %
0.2754379808903	-	1	0.0 %
0.2779608964920	-	1	0.0 %
0.2785121798515	-	1	0.0 %
0.2785736620426	-	1	0.0 %
0.2811600565910	-	1	0.0 %
0.2823846042156	-	4	0.1 %
0.2843608856201	-	2	0.0 %
0.2873273789883	-	2	0.0 %
0.2879407107830	-	1	0.0 %
0.2895587086678	-	3	0.1 %
0.2899591922760	-	5	0.1 %
0.2912580668926	-	8	0.2 %
0.2932964563370	-	1	0.0 %
0.2959242463112	-	1	0.0 %
0.2969887852669	-	2	0.0 %
0.3091249465942	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.3124399781227	-	2	0.0 %
0.3125140666962	-	1	0.0 %
0.3241822123528	-	1	0.0 %
0.3260038793087	-	4	0.1 %
0.3343690931797	-	2	0.0 %
0.3361682891846	-	1	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 0.9958608387699
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 6
- Standard Deviation: 0.3705271506871

*Location:* 382-396 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## WT\_WHT\_NAT: Weight White Data

Weight White Data

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.039672128856	-	1	0.0 %
0.046947289258	-	1	0.0 %
0.047339893878	-	4	0.1 %
0.048317749053	-	1	0.0 %
0.055019743741	-	1	0.0 %
0.058552239090	-	1	0.0 %
0.059041891247	-	5	0.1 %
0.060261469334	-	1	0.0 %
0.065109387040	-	2	0.0 %
0.065653860569	-	5	0.1 %
0.069869115949	-	3	0.1 %
0.071312345564	-	1	0.0 %
0.071730352938	-	5	0.1 %
0.080103427172	-	1	0.0 %
0.081203840673	-	3	0.1 %
0.081882916391	-	2	0.0 %
0.083574295044	-	1	0.0 %
0.087140142918	-	3	0.1 %
0.088940113783	-	1	0.0 %
0.089461453259	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.096898786724	-	5	0.1 %
0.098900333047	-	2	0.0 %
0.099480055273	-	1	0.0 %
0.099493212998	-	1	0.0 %
0.099904268980	-	2	0.0 %
0.106752410531	-	3	0.1 %
0.108957499266	-	1	0.0 %
0.112166211009	-	2	0.0 %
0.117738492787	-	1	0.0 %
0.118224933743	-	2	0.0 %
0.119213603437	-	2	0.0 %
0.120851300657	-	7	0.2 %
0.121676087379	-	1	0.0 %
0.123347602785	-	3	0.1 %
0.124070636928	-	2	0.0 %
0.129711315036	-	1	0.0 %
0.133140638471	-	2	0.0 %
0.134898811579	-	3	0.1 %
0.135890811682	-	1	0.0 %
0.139542490244	-	1	0.0 %
0.139892697334	-	1	0.0 %
0.147449091077	-	1	0.0 %
0.148050785065	-	5	0.1 %
0.148682132363	-	1	0.0 %
0.151108950377	-	1	0.0 %
0.151753321290	-	2	0.0 %
0.160671204329	-	2	0.0 %
0.165546521544	-	1	0.0 %
0.168244585395	-	3	0.1 %
0.174036145210	-	2	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 0.960268525190
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 17
- Standard Deviation: 0.564487162621

*Location:* 397-411 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## WT\_ALLRACE: Weight Combined All Race

Weight Combined All Race

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.041468217969	-	1	0.0 %
0.049072749913	-	1	0.0 %
0.049483127892	-	4	0.1 %
0.050505254418	-	1	0.0 %
0.057510670274	-	1	0.0 %
0.061203092337	-	1	0.0 %
0.061714913696	-	5	0.1 %
0.062889061868	-	5	0.1 %
0.062989711761	-	1	0.0 %
0.068057104945	-	2	0.0 %
0.068626224995	-	5	0.1 %
0.068899460137	-	1	0.0 %
0.073032326996	-	3	0.1 %
0.074540890753	-	1	0.0 %
0.074977822602	-	5	0.1 %
0.078716143966	-	2	0.0 %
0.080827191472	-	1	0.0 %
0.083729974926	-	1	0.0 %
0.084819607437	-	2	0.0 %
0.084880210459	-	3	0.1 %
0.085590027273	-	2	0.0 %
0.086239151657	-	1	0.0 %
0.087357982993	-	1	0.0 %
0.090498894453	-	1	0.0 %
0.091085262597	-	3	0.1 %
0.092925943434	-	1	0.0 %
0.092966727912	-	1	0.0 %
0.093511670828	-	2	0.0 %
0.101285718381	-	5	0.1 %
0.103377878666	-	2	0.0 %
0.103983849287	-	1	0.0 %
0.103997603059	-	1	0.0 %
0.104427263141	-	2	0.0 %
0.106165885925	-	3	0.1 %
0.108362697065	-	1	0.0 %
0.110637985170	-	1	0.0 %
0.111585453153	-	3	0.1 %
0.113274440169	-	3	0.1 %
0.113890364766	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.116102896631	-	2	0.0 %
0.116312302649	-	1	0.0 %
0.117244347930	-	2	0.0 %
0.118906557560	-	1	0.0 %
0.120432563126	-	1	0.0 %
0.120940506458	-	2	0.0 %
0.122057490051	-	1	0.0 %
0.123068906367	-	1	0.0 %
0.123577371240	-	2	0.0 %
0.123662382364	-	1	0.0 %
0.124610796571	-	2	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 0.999999946836
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 17
- Standard Deviation: 0.915727583819

*Location:* 412-426 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

*Variable Type:* numeric

---

## WT\_NATIONAL: Weight National Proportionate

Weight National Proportionate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.018256388605	-	5	0.1 %
0.020001176745	-	1	0.0 %
0.022850912064	-	2	0.0 %
0.023463739082	-	1	0.0 %
0.024622719735	-	2	0.0 %
0.025034805760	-	1	0.0 %
0.026271387935	-	1	0.0 %
0.026975950226	-	1	0.0 %
0.030819438398	-	3	0.1 %
0.031457163393	-	1	0.0 %
0.032117668539	-	1	0.0 %
0.032883018255	-	3	0.1 %
0.033704105765	-	2	0.0 %
0.033764891326	-	1	0.0 %
0.034517996013	-	1	0.0 %



Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.034960985184	-	1	0.0 %
0.035108439624	-	2	0.0 %
0.035432692617	-	1	0.0 %
0.035898584872	-	1	0.0 %
0.036391064525	-	1	0.0 %
0.037367023528	-	1	0.0 %
0.037957321852	-	1	0.0 %
0.038298934698	-	1	0.0 %
0.038463804871	-	1	0.0 %
0.038536239415	-	2	0.0 %
0.039373878390	-	1	0.0 %
0.039611794055	-	1	0.0 %
0.040686875582	-	1	0.0 %
0.041340984404	-	1	0.0 %
0.041847947985	-	1	0.0 %
0.042186301202	-	1	0.0 %
0.042330402881	-	1	0.0 %
0.042919252068	-	1	0.0 %
0.043048672378	-	1	0.0 %
0.043944064528	-	2	0.0 %
0.044085104018	-	1	0.0 %
0.044349916279	-	2	0.0 %
0.044363021851	-	2	0.0 %
0.044579360634	-	1	0.0 %
0.044824585319	-	1	0.0 %
0.045267622918	-	4	0.1 %
0.045541141182	-	1	0.0 %
0.045595277101	-	1	0.0 %
0.045962709934	-	1	0.0 %
0.046555038542	-	1	0.0 %
0.046644907445	-	2	0.0 %
0.047152511775	-	4	0.1 %
0.047437071800	-	3	0.1 %
0.047518372536	-	1	0.0 %
0.048126053065	-	2	0.0 %
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,563</b>	<b>100%</b>

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,563 valid cases out of 4,563 total cases.

- Mean: 0.999999835217
- Minimum: 0

- Maximum: 49
- Standard Deviation: 2.060379003653

*Location:* 427-441 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

*Variable Type:* numeric

## **2008 Collaborative Multi-racial Post-election Survey (CMPS)**

The 2008 CMPS is a national telephone survey of registered voters, with comparably large samples of African Americans, Asian Americans, Latinos, and Whites.

### **CMPS 2008 Survey Design and Methods**

This telephone survey—conducted between November 9, 2008 and January 5, 2009—is the first multiracial and multilingual survey of registered voters across multiple states and regions in a presidential election. In contrast to the 2008 ANES which oversampled Black and Latino voters, and was available in Spanish, the CMPS was available in six languages and contains robust samples of the four largest racial/ethnic groups: Whites, Latinos, Blacks, Asians. The CMPS contains 4,563 respondents who voted in the November 2008 election and that self-identified as Asian, Black, Latino, and White, and was available in English, Spanish, Mandarin, Cantonese, Korean, Vietnamese and respondents were offered the opportunity to interview in their language of choice.

There are six states in the country where representative studies will yield robust samples of all four major racial groups. These states include California, Texas, New York, Florida, Illinois, New Jersey, and our statewide samples range from 243 to 669 cases. In order to arrive at more nationally representative samples of each minority group, we added two supplemental states per racial group, including Arizona and New Mexico (Latinos), North Carolina and Georgia (Blacks), Hawaii and Washington (Asians). Of these 12 states, 3 were considered political battlegrounds in the 2008 Presidential electorate – New Mexico, Florida, and North Carolina. In order to examine multi-racial politics in competitive and non-competitive environments, we supplemented our sample with six additional diverse battleground states : Colorado, Michigan, Nevada, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Virginia. As of the 2008 election, two-thirds of the national electorate is concentrated in these 18 states. For Latinos, 92% of all registered voters reside in these states; 87% of Asian Americans; and 66% of Blacks, and 61% of Whites.

The sample was drawn of registered voters using the official statewide databases of registered voters, maintained by elections officials in each of the 18 states. For voters without listed phone numbers, records were enriched using a combination of public and private sources of consumer information by Catalist Data Services. The vendor classified the sample by racial/ethnic group based on a combination of variables: first and last name, population density, and consumer information. In 2008, Catalist had the most comprehensive database of registered voters and is particularly useful in studying multi-racial populations because of their classification methodology. All interviews were conducted by live interviewers, via telephone to landlines and mobile phone numbers when they were directly supplied by registered voters on the voter list. The survey contains an overall sample of 4,563 with a margin of error of 1.5% for the national sample, 2.5% for the Latino sample, and 3.2% for the White, Black and Asian samples. Overall, the AAPOR response rate-1 was 11.4% and response rate-3 was 41.9% The average survey length was 24.8 minutes.

Post-stratification weights were applied to correct for any discrepancies for age, gender, and education which may accompany telephone surveys. The November 2008 CPS provides estimates of the registered voter population by race, age, gender, and education level which we applied to our sample, by racial group, so that our distributions match those of the Census on these important demographic categories.

There are 51 items dealing with sociopolitical attitudes, mobilization and political activity. Additionally, there are 21 items that capture demographic information, including: age, ancestry, birthplace, education, ethnicity, marital status, number in the household, religiosity, gender, media usage and residential context.

## 2008 Collaborative Multiracial Post-Election Survey

### Toplines

Principal Investigators: Lorrie Frasure, Sylvia Manzano, Ricardo Ramirez, Gabriel Sanchez  
Matt Barreto, Ange-Marie Hancock, Karthick Ramakrishnan, Janelle Wong

#### S2. Record Language of Survey

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	English	53.82%	62.88%	99.78%	99.49%	76.87%
2	Spanish	46.09%	0.00%	0.22%	0.51%	15.54%
3	Mandarin	0.01%	6.97%	0.00%	0.00%	1.42%
4	Cantonese	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
5	Korean	0.00%	15.43%	0.00%	0.00%	3.14%
6	Vietnamese	0.09%	14.59%	0.00%	0.00%	3.00%

#### Q1. [ALL] Thinking back to October and November of this year, how closely did you follow news about the 2008 presidential race? Did you follow the race very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely, or not closely at all?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very closely	57.94%	67.00%	71.70%	67.47%	65.07%
2	Somewhat closely	29.33%	23.61%	21.99%	24.49%	25.41%
3	Not too closely	8.63%	6.22%	4.41%	5.23%	6.40%
4	Not closely at all	3.83%	1.73%	1.43%	2.38%	2.54%
88	Don't Know	0.27%	1.35%	0.47%	0.43%	0.57%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%

#### Q2. [ALL] People rely on different sources for political information. Do you read newspapers for information about politics?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	48.93%	62.60%	57.25%	62.52%	56.92%
2	No	50.96%	36.87%	42.65%	37.48%	42.92%
88	Don't Know	0.09%	0.53%	0.10%	0.00%	0.16%
99	Refused	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%

#### Q2a. [IF 2=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language or Asian-oriented newspapers, English-language, or both? [IF 2=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language newspapers, English-language, or both? [IF 2=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented papers, mainstream papers, or both?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Asian Language ONLY	0.00%	28.19%	0.00%	.	8.79%
2	Spanish language ONLY	7.84%	0.00%	0.00%	.	3.13%
3	Black oriented ONLY	0.00%	0.00%	4.61%	.	1.33%
4	Both types	29.61%	17.71%	43.58%	.	29.93%
5	English/mainstream ONLY	62.36%	53.96%	50.76%	.	56.39%
88	Don't Know	0.19%	0.00%	0.90%	.	0.34%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.15%	0.14%	.	0.09%

#### Q3. [ALL] Do you listen to the radio for political information?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	48.08%	45.94%	53.66%	59.40%	51.71%
2	No	51.78%	53.49%	46.26%	40.60%	48.12%
88	Don't Know	0.14%	0.57%	0.08%	0.00%	0.18%
99	Refused	.	.	.	.	.

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q3a. [IF 3=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language or Asian-oriented radio, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 3=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language radio, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 3=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented radio, mainstream radio, or both?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Asian Language ONLY	0.00%	26.05%	0.00%	.	6.69%
2	Spanish language ONLY	20.87%	0.00%	0.00%	.	9.18%
3	Black oriented ONLY	0.00%	0.00%	12.09%	.	3.67%
4	Both types	31.88%	15.73%	51.04%	.	33.55%
5	English/mainstream ONLY	47.18%	57.46%	35.26%	.	46.20%
88	Don't Know	0.00%	0.77%	1.62%	.	0.69%
99	Refused	0.07%	0.00%	0.00%	.	0.03%

**Q4. [ALL] Do you watch television for political information?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	89.18%	86.81%	91.50%	89.20%	89.18%
2	No	10.67%	12.59%	8.50%	10.80%	10.65%
88	Don't Know	0.15%	0.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.17%
99	Refused	.	.	.	.	.

**Q4a. [IF 4=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language TV or Asian-oriented, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 4=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language TV, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 4=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented TV, mainstream TV, or both?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Asian Language ONLY	0.00%	18.30%	0.00%	.	4.88%
2	Spanish language ONLY	13.73%	0.00%	0.00%	.	6.16%
3	Black oriented ONLY	0.00%	0.00%	1.83%	.	0.52%
4	Both types	40.53%	18.87%	47.54%	.	36.75%
5	English/mainstream ONLY	45.73%	62.77%	49.35%	.	51.31%
88	Don't Know	0.00%	0.06%	1.28%	.	0.38%
99	Refused	.	.	.	.	.

**Q5. [ALL] Do you use the Internet for political information?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	45.71%	58.25%	44.75%	56.16%	50.75%
2	No	54.08%	41.17%	55.25%	43.79%	49.05%
88	Don't Know	0.19%	0.15%	0.00%	0.04%	0.11%
99	Refused	0.02%	0.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%

**Q5a. [IF 5=YES & S3=2] Is that Asian-language websites, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 5=YES & S3=1] Is that Spanish-language websites, English-language, or both?**  
**[IF 5=YES & S3=3] Is that Black-oriented websites, mainstream websites, or both?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Asian Language ONLY	0.00%	13.78%	0.00%	.	4.50%
2	Spanish language ONLY	3.95%	0.00%	0.00%	.	1.66%
3	Black oriented ONLY	0.00%	0.00%	3.69%	.	0.94%
4	Both types	19.14%	22.57%	39.45%	.	25.42%
5	English/mainstream ONLY	76.91%	63.47%	55.12%	.	66.99%
88	Don't Know	0.00%	0.18%	1.33%	.	0.40%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.00%	0.41%	.	0.10%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q6.** [ALL] Now I'd like to ask you about some people who have been mentioned in the news recently. For each, please tell me whether you have heard of the person, and if your impression is very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable. If you have no opinion, or have never heard of the person, just let me know.

**Q6\_1** How about [George W. Bush] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [George W. Bush]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	12.53%	11.78%	4.09%	18.02%	12.05%
2	Somewhat favorable	17.32%	25.40%	13.18%	23.75%	19.77%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	18.10%	18.37%	16.90%	16.41%	17.47%
4	very unfavorable	46.79%	37.70%	60.99%	38.67%	45.78%
5	No opinion	5.00%	6.45%	4.81%	3.15%	4.78%
6	Never heard	0.26%	0.30%	0.04%	0.00%	0.16%

**Q6\_2** How about [Barack Obama] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Barack Obama]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	54.50%	46.12%	84.09%	36.31%	54.22%
2	Somewhat favorable	25.65%	28.75%	12.54%	27.63%	24.09%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	6.72%	7.01%	0.94%	13.46%	7.32%
4	very unfavorable	7.78%	7.26%	0.92%	16.28%	8.44%
5	No opinion	5.31%	10.68%	1.53%	6.32%	5.88%
6	Never heard	0.05%	0.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.05%

**Q6\_3** How about [John McCain] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [John McCain]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	17.12%	18.15%	4.68%	20.73%	15.69%
2	Somewhat favorable	32.21%	35.87%	28.62%	41.44%	34.59%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	22.39%	20.30%	32.08%	21.95%	23.85%
4	very unfavorable	19.41%	14.08%	27.87%	11.70%	18.08%
5	No opinion	8.32%	11.02%	6.71%	4.16%	7.47%
6	Never heard	0.56%	0.59%	0.05%	0.02%	0.32%

**Q6\_4** How about [Hillary Clinton] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Hillary Clinton]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	46.48%	30.92%	50.84%	24.61%	38.59%
2	Somewhat favorable	32.48%	40.36%	38.78%	30.51%	34.88%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	7.55%	10.53%	5.29%	20.39%	10.99%
4	very unfavorable	9.28%	9.57%	3.46%	20.65%	11.06%
5	No opinion	3.98%	8.47%	1.63%	3.82%	4.37%
6	Never heard	0.22%	0.17%	0.00%	0.02%	0.11%

**Q6\_5** How about [Sarah Palin] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Sarah Palin]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	12.50%	8.58%	4.11%	24.15%	12.96%
2	Somewhat favorable	20.25%	18.93%	19.27%	24.33%	20.83%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	17.97%	19.28%	19.14%	18.40%	18.59%
4	very unfavorable	32.54%	36.98%	45.13%	25.81%	34.31%
5	No opinion	11.57%	12.64%	9.72%	6.81%	10.18%
6	Never heard	5.17%	3.59%	2.63%	0.51%	3.13%

**Q6\_6** How about [Joe Biden] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Joe Biden]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	22.44%	16.60%	47.10%	20.41%	25.81%
2	Somewhat favorable	31.03%	32.78%	30.83%	30.03%	31.09%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	8.36%	11.05%	5.05%	15.76%	10.13%
4	very unfavorable	8.64%	11.29%	3.05%	19.01%	10.70%
5	No opinion	16.34%	16.96%	8.09%	12.81%	13.86%
6	Never heard	13.20%	11.30%	5.87%	1.98%	8.42%

**2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines**  
[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q6\_7 How about [Bill Richardson] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Bill Richardson]?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	16.00%	6.25%	14.24%	11.25%	12.43%
2	Somewhat favorable	26.08%	18.05%	20.94%	21.94%	22.32%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	8.24%	8.53%	4.77%	9.14%	7.82%
4	very unfavorable	4.47%	4.21%	4.22%	4.59%	4.40%
5	No opinion	17.03%	20.40%	17.15%	23.43%	19.39%
6	Never heard	28.19%	42.55%	38.67%	29.66%	33.65%

**Q6\_8 How about [Bobby Jindal] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Bobby Jindal]?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	4.56%	5.74%	3.28%	7.58%	5.31%
2	Somewhat favorable	7.77%	10.79%	10.52%	8.29%	9.08%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	2.96%	6.38%	4.56%	5.20%	4.56%
4	very unfavorable	2.31%	3.21%	4.51%	1.82%	2.82%
5	No opinion	24.94%	19.64%	19.97%	24.10%	22.62%
6	Never heard	57.47%	54.24%	57.17%	53.02%	55.60%

**Q6\_9 How about [Condoleezza Rice] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression of [Condoleezza Rice]?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very favorable	22.66%	27.89%	22.45%	29.54%	25.45%
2	Somewhat favorable	28.65%	32.32%	38.55%	35.59%	33.22%
3	Somewhat unfavorable	11.65%	11.16%	13.96%	15.06%	12.90%
4	very unfavorable	9.80%	7.58%	13.59%	6.81%	9.36%
5	No opinion	14.03%	10.58%	7.14%	9.02%	10.62%
6	Never heard	13.21%	10.47%	4.32%	3.99%	8.45%

**Q7.**

**[ALL] In talking to people about elections, we often find that people are too busy, did not have enough time to vote, or just forgot it was Election Day. How about you? If we checked the official voter statistics, would it say that you voted in the Presidential election on November 4th, or did you not get around to voting this year?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes, I voted	89.45%	90.26%	94.00%	96.97%	92.49%
2	No, I did not vote	10.49%	9.10%	5.82%	3.03%	7.33%
88	Don't Know	0.06%	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.59%	0.17%	0.00%	0.16%



## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q7a. [IF 7=1] And did you vote by mail, vote early, vote by absentee ballot, or did you vote at the polls on Election Day November 4th?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Voted by mail, absentee	13.91%	31.64%	10.89%	18.62%	18.07%
2	Voted early	19.81%	11.46%	27.29%	18.26%	19.30%
3	Voted at the polls	66.01%	56.70%	61.82%	62.98%	62.47%
4	Did Not vote	0.11%	0.07%	0.00%	0.13%	0.08%
88	Don't Know	0.04%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%
99	Refused	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.04%

**Q8. [IF 7=1] In the 2008 election for President, did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE \_Republican John McCain, Democrat Barack Obama] or someone else?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	McCain	23.18%	29.30%	2.67%	46.50%	26.39%
2	Obama	65.88%	58.12%	91.33%	44.20%	63.83%
3	Someone else	2.49%	1.66%	1.33%	2.83%	2.17%
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	2.24%	1.38%	0.29%	0.53%	1.20%
99	Refused	6.20%	9.53%	4.37%	5.94%	6.41%

**Q9. [IF 7=1] And how about in the election for the House of Representatives in your district. Did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE: The Republican candidate OR the Democratic candidate] or some other candidate for U.S. Congress?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Republican	21.16%	26.59%	4.49%	47.00%	25.71%
2	Democrat	65.24%	58.31%	88.10%	39.79%	61.79%
3	Someone else	2.96%	0.81%	1.04%	1.98%	1.87%
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	7.08%	9.02%	4.14%	8.17%	7.14%
99	Refused	3.56%	5.27%	2.23%	3.06%	3.49%

**Q10. [IF 7=1] And this year, [STATE NAME] had a U.S. Senate election. Did you vote for [READ AND ROTATE: The Republican candidate OR the Democratic candidate] or some other candidate for U.S. Senate?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Republican	17.68%	25.87%	4.94%	50.30%	24.58%
2	Democrat	67.98%	60.65%	87.39%	41.00%	64.70%
3	Someone else	2.58%	0.68%	0.85%	0.73%	1.35%
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	9.83%	8.26%	5.60%	5.56%	7.22%
99	Refused	1.94%	4.54%	1.22%	2.42%	2.14%

**Q10a. [IF STATE=CA] How did you vote on Proposition 8 here in California? This is the measure that would change the California constitution so that only marriage between a man and woman is valid. Did you vote yes or no on Prop 8?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes on Prop 8	55.62%	48.48%	56.93%	50.57%	52.48%
2	No on Prop 8	35.06%	34.94%	24.52%	45.52%	35.34%
3	Someone else	5.48%	6.19%	5.85%	1.27%	5.26%
88	Can't remember/Don't Know	1.99%	5.54%	11.01%	0.00%	3.88%
99	Refused	1.85%	4.84%	1.70%	2.63%	3.04%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q11\_1 [ALL] Thinking back to the presidential election, what do you think were the most important issues facing the nation? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO – RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO: Q11\_1 is respondent's first choice]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Education	2.51%	4.82%	2.81%	1.49%	2.78%
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	68.43%	72.78%	72.24%	72.45%	71.14%
3	War in Iraq	11.28%	7.00%	7.71%	7.99%	8.83%
4	Ethics in government National/homeland	0.30%	1.15%	0.06%	0.19%	0.39%
5	security/border security	2.37%	2.44%	1.31%	4.63%	2.75%
6	Immigration-related issues	3.17%	0.49%	0.27%	1.27%	1.54%
7	The environment/global warming	0.04%	0.41%	0.35%	0.29%	0.24%
8	Health care	2.88%	2.71%	6.24%	2.47%	3.43%
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	0.53%	0.91%	0.91%	0.93%	0.79%
10	Taxes	0.65%	0.62%	2.04%	0.80%	0.97%
11	War on Terrorism	1.37%	1.94%	0.47%	1.10%	1.23%
12	Morals/values	0.72%	0.96%	0.16%	1.85%	0.94%
13	Race Relations	0.10%	0.04%	0.00%	0.27%	0.11%
14	Something else	1.30%	1.11%	2.20%	3.13%	1.92%
88	Don't know	4.22%	2.03%	3.09%	0.92%	2.69%
99	Refused	0.14%	0.59%	0.15%	0.22%	0.26%

**Q11\_2 [ALL] Thinking back to the presidential election, what do you think were the most important issues facing the nation? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO – RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO: q11\_2 is respondent's second choice]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Education	4.37%	4.87%	7.53%	1.84%	4.33%
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	19.17%	15.72%	17.30%	16.65%	17.48%
3	War in Iraq	32.89%	36.16%	30.94%	42.64%	35.96%
4	Ethics in government National/homeland	0.97%	1.63%	1.08%	1.28%	1.19%
5	security/border security	3.55%	8.47%	2.82%	6.30%	5.03%
6	Immigration-related issues	12.80%	1.18%	1.37%	4.19%	6.03%
7	The environment/global warming	1.61%	3.14%	2.01%	2.84%	2.31%
8	Health care	9.96%	13.79%	17.95%	11.62%	12.68%
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	3.22%	0.56%	5.11%	2.90%	3.07%
10	taxes	1.76%	1.23%	2.28%	0.87%	1.51%
11	War on Terrorism	4.96%	5.19%	3.47%	3.11%	4.14%
12	Morals/values	0.85%	1.21%	0.72%	1.14%	0.97%
13	Race Relations	0.89%	0.50%	1.55%	0.81%	0.94%
14	Something else	3.00%	6.35%	5.88%	3.80%	4.36%
88	Don't know	.	.	.	.	.
99	Refused	.	.	.	.	.

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q12\_1 [IF S3 = 1, 2, 3 ONLY] And what do you think are the most important issues facing the [IF S3=ASIAN, “Asian community” IF S3=LATINO, “Latino community”, IF S3=BLACK, “Black community”]? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO – RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO: Q12\_1 is respondent's first choice]**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Education	8.01%	5.68%	12.69%	.	8.67%
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	38.50%	45.73%	58.08%	.	45.91%
3	War in Iraq	0.92%	2.23%	1.00%	.	1.30%
4	Ethics in government	0.93%	0.33%	0.27%	.	0.58%
	National/homeland					
5	security/border security	1.66%	0.76%	0.23%	.	1.02%
6	Immigration-related issues	31.80%	4.81%	0.76%	.	15.79%
7	The environment/global warming	0.20%	0.22%	0.25%	.	0.22%
8	Health care	3.28%	2.74%	6.23%	.	3.95%
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	0.22%	0.30%	0.22%	.	0.24%
10	taxes	0.42%	0.21%	0.30%	.	0.33%
11	War on Terrorism	0.36%	1.16%	0.31%	.	0.56%
12	Morals/values	0.76%	2.58%	1.90%	.	1.57%
13	Race Relations	1.08%	4.49%	3.14%	.	2.58%
14	Something else	1.84%	6.13%	5.43%	.	4.01%
88	Don't know	9.18%	20.27%	7.77%	.	11.83%
99	Refused	0.85%	2.36%	1.40%	.	1.42%

**Q12\_2 [IF S3 = 1, 2, 3 ONLY] And what do you think are the most important issues facing the [IF S3=ASIAN, “Asian community” IF S3=LATINO, “Latino community”, IF S3=BLACK, “Black community”]? [ Open ended, Pre-code to list, RESPONDENT MAY SELECT ONE OR TWO – RECORD ORDER OF MENTION IF TWO: Q12\_2 is respondent's second choice]**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Education	9.45%	8.27%	20.21%	.	12.82%
2	Jobs/Economy/Stock Market	29.34%	14.15%	17.75%	.	22.88%
3	War in Iraq	8.02%	15.94%	7.73%	.	9.29%
4	Ethics in government	1.22%	1.98%	1.00%	.	1.28%
	National/homeland					
5	security/border security	2.79%	4.01%	1.09%	.	2.44%
6	Immigration-related issues	26.55%	4.80%	0.37%	.	14.12%
7	The environment/global warming	0.08%	1.18%	1.03%	.	0.59%
8	Health care	12.64%	13.05%	27.76%	.	17.73%
9	Gas prices/ energy-related	0.81%	1.93%	1.67%	.	1.29%
10	taxes	0.73%	0.85%	0.18%	.	0.57%
11	War on Terrorism	0.23%	3.95%	0.20%	.	0.86%
12	Morals/values	2.35%	6.71%	3.34%	.	3.43%
13	Race Relations	3.06%	10.11%	4.46%	.	4.74%
14	Something else	2.73%	13.06%	13.21%	.	7.99%
88	Don't know	.	.	.	.	.
99	Refused	.	.	.	.	.

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q13** [ALL] We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you and your family are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? [If mention better/worse.... "Is that much better off, or somewhat better.... Is that much worse off, or somewhat worse..."]

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Much Better off	4.64%	3.24%	3.89%	3.06%	3.80%
2	Somewhat Better off	8.59%	6.40%	7.53%	8.01%	7.78%
3	Just about the same	43.01%	46.28%	43.27%	49.49%	45.40%
4	Somewhat Worse off	28.32%	29.87%	26.50%	25.79%	27.61%
5	Much Worse off	14.56%	12.85%	17.85%	12.91%	14.47%
88	Don't know	0.57%	0.41%	0.76%	0.44%	0.55%
99	Refused	0.30%	0.94%	0.19%	0.28%	0.41%

**Q14** [ALL] Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a [ROTATE: Republican, Democrat, Independent] some other party, or do you not think in these terms?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Republican	15.66%	22.19%	2.15%	32.44%	18.52%
2	Democrat	51.38%	37.38%	67.82%	26.98%	45.65%
3	Independent	15.71%	20.09%	15.43%	24.71%	18.86%
4	Other party	1.47%	0.57%	0.64%	1.44%	1.11%
5	Do not think in these terms	12.54%	14.82%	12.15%	13.05%	13.05%
88	Don't know	2.47%	2.50%	0.81%	0.89%	1.73%
99	Refused	0.76%	2.45%	1.00%	0.49%	1.08%

**Q14a.** [IF 14 = 1 OR 2] Do you consider yourself to be a strong [INSERT ANSWER TO 14] or not so strong?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strong partisan	60.82%	55.06%	81.98%	64.65%	65.40%
2	Not so strong partisan	37.79%	39.52%	17.16%	33.95%	32.56%
88	Don't know	1.39%	4.51%	0.71%	1.40%	1.83%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.91%	0.16%	0.00%	0.21%

**Q14b.** [IF 14 = 3 – 99] If you had to pick, do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Republican	27.38%	19.69%	9.98%	35.86%	25.08%
2	Democrat	46.60%	39.54%	66.12%	42.35%	47.11%
3	Neither	11.67%	18.66%	14.01%	14.34%	14.46%
4	Other party	1.12%	1.58%	1.53%	0.81%	1.21%
88	Don't know	10.69%	14.24%	5.94%	4.45%	8.87%
99	Refused	2.53%	6.29%	2.41%	2.19%	3.28%

**Q15** Now I'm going to read you a list of statements about different policies. For each statement, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with each policy?

**Q15a** The U.S. should get our military troops out of Iraq as soon as possible.

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	62.13%	51.99%	80.08%	38.00%	57.56%
2	Somewhat agree	15.78%	17.70%	11.89%	22.96%	17.21%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3.29%	8.20%	1.14%	5.39%	4.39%
4	Somewhat disagree	5.61%	8.58%	3.30%	11.98%	7.38%
5	Strongly disagree	11.61%	8.84%	2.88%	20.45%	11.52%
88	Don't know	1.52%	3.94%	0.54%	0.58%	1.57%
99	Refused	0.07%	0.76%	0.16%	0.63%	0.37%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **Q15b. The federal government should guarantee health care for everyone.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	65.57%	59.39%	76.14%	37.90%	59.38%
2	Somewhat agree	16.70%	19.42%	15.19%	16.93%	17.00%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2.10%	2.88%	1.55%	3.36%	2.47%
4	Somewhat disagree	5.15%	7.25%	3.01%	11.49%	6.77%
5	Strongly disagree	9.46%	9.14%	3.21%	28.39%	12.97%
88	Don't know	0.85%	1.05%	0.78%	1.47%	1.04%
99	Refused	0.18%	0.86%	0.11%	0.46%	0.38%

### **Q15c. Abortion should be legal in all cases.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	20.05%	21.22%	24.10%	25.63%	22.56%
2	Somewhat agree	11.16%	18.15%	15.21%	15.00%	14.40%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	6.39%	7.44%	7.73%	5.80%	6.73%
4	Somewhat disagree	15.71%	14.03%	16.33%	13.31%	14.88%
5	Strongly disagree	43.29%	33.33%	33.35%	37.87%	37.82%
88	Don't know	2.93%	3.43%	2.39%	1.72%	2.61%
99	Refused	0.47%	2.41%	0.88%	0.68%	1.00%

### **Q15d. Illegal immigrants should qualify for U.S. citizenship, if they meet certain requirements like paying back taxes and fines, learning English, and pass a background check**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	70.01%	39.98%	59.16%	41.96%	54.45%
2	Somewhat agree	17.76%	30.69%	25.47%	33.58%	26.05%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1.45%	4.32%	1.65%	2.64%	2.38%
4	Somewhat disagree	2.74%	6.72%	4.23%	6.46%	4.81%
5	Strongly disagree	6.71%	13.24%	7.74%	14.16%	10.17%
88	Don't know	1.26%	3.64%	1.58%	1.08%	1.77%
99	Refused	0.08%	1.41%	0.16%	0.11%	0.38%

### **Q15e**

**We need an amendment to the U.S. Constitution that would ban marriages between gays or between lesbians.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	33.12%	24.22%	34.72%	29.25%	30.64%
2	Somewhat agree	6.52%	8.15%	5.79%	7.47%	6.94%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	6.87%	10.20%	11.60%	9.61%	9.23%
4	Somewhat disagree	9.85%	9.56%	11.31%	15.42%	11.53%
5	Strongly disagree	38.41%	41.04%	33.79%	36.19%	37.42%
88	Don't know	4.11%	4.08%	1.99%	1.82%	3.08%
99	Refused	1.13%	2.74%	0.80%	0.24%	1.16%

### **Q15f. Immigration has an overall positive impact on the [STATE] economy**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	48.82%	43.97%	38.08%	23.16%	39.02%
2	Somewhat agree	21.96%	25.90%	23.13%	24.97%	23.78%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	5.78%	6.60%	8.16%	9.51%	7.40%
4	Somewhat disagree	8.00%	6.70%	10.82%	15.39%	10.22%
5	Strongly disagree	8.89%	4.87%	14.22%	19.92%	12.00%
88	Don't know	6.36%	10.40%	5.34%	6.69%	7.05%
99	Refused	0.20%	1.57%	0.25%	0.36%	0.53%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **Q15g. Illegal immigrants who grew up in the U.S. and graduated from High School here, should qualify for instate college tuition.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	62.51%	30.07%	46.19%	18.09%	41.12%
2	Somewhat agree	18.16%	25.69%	24.02%	25.82%	22.87%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1.71%	6.74%	3.19%	4.86%	3.85%
4	Somewhat disagree	5.85%	13.21%	9.33%	14.20%	10.21%
5	Strongly disagree	9.95%	16.99%	15.09%	34.52%	18.76%
88	Don't know	1.65%	5.27%	1.89%	2.25%	2.59%
99	Refused	0.16%	2.03%	0.29%	0.26%	0.60%

### **Q15h. Middle-class families should get a tax cut by having the wealthiest families in America pay a little more in taxes.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Strongly agree	53.93%	50.05%	60.17%	35.04%	49.57%
2	Somewhat agree	17.10%	23.31%	21.79%	21.83%	20.55%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	4.28%	5.72%	3.18%	4.68%	4.45%
4	Somewhat disagree	8.16%	8.37%	7.12%	13.14%	9.27%
5	Strongly disagree	13.89%	7.69%	6.04%	24.26%	13.67%
88	Don't know	2.54%	3.38%	1.45%	0.77%	2.03%
99	Refused	0.10%	1.47%	0.25%	0.29%	0.46%

### **Q17 [ALL] During the primary or general election for President, did you sign up to receive updates or information from any candidates such as through their websites, at events, or by providing your email address, cell phone number for text messages, or your home phone number or address?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	25.54%	23.85%	25.95%	18.90%	23.57%
2	No	73.92%	73.75%	73.75%	80.68%	75.59%
88	Don't know	0.45%	1.19%	0.30%	0.42%	0.56%
99	Refused	0.09%	1.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.28%

### **Q18 [IF 17=1] And what sort of updates did you sign up to receive? Email, Text Message, Phone, Mail...[MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE – CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Email	55.20%	61.90%	76.10%	73.10%	65.40%
2	Text Message	7.00%	8.60%	11.70%	4.30%	7.80%
3	Phone	20.80%	19.20%	19.30%	12.90%	18.40%
4	Mail	33.80%	18.20%	30.60%	22.00%	25.80%
5	Something else/ other	2.80%	2.00%	2.60%	1.70%	2.40%
88	Don't Know	1.50%	1.51%	1.50%	1.70%	1.50%
99	Refused	0.70%	2.00%	0.30%	0.00%	0.70%

### **Q19 [IF 17=1] Which candidates did you sign up to receive information from? [MULTIPLE ANSWERS POSSIBLE – CODE ALL ANSWERS RESPONDENT GIVES] [SAY, “ANYONE ELSE”]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Barack Obama	60.60%	3.10%	94.20%	58.50%	71.90%
2	John McCain	24.00%	29.60%	18.30%	32.50%	28.30%
3	Hillary Clinton	16.60%	8.90%	12.60%	10.50%	12.90%
4	John Edwards	1.30%	1.00%	2.20%	0.40%	1.30%
5	Rudy Giuliani	1.00%	0.50%	0.30%	1.70%	1.10%
6	Mike Huckabee	1.50%	1.00%	0.70%	3.00%	1.70%
7	Dennis Kucinich	1.00%	0.00%	1.10%	1.30%	0.90%
8	Ron Paul	1.00%	0.50%	1.90%	0.80%	0.90%
9	Bill Richardson	2.60%	0.00%	1.50%	1.30%	1.60%
10	Mitt Romney	1.30%	1.00%	1.50%	3.00%	1.60%
11	Fred Thompson	0.70%	1.50%	0.30%	1.70%	0.09%
88	Don't Know	12.60%	13.70%	1.90%	10.50%	9.70%
99	Refused	2.10%	2.10%	1.50%	1.70%	1.80%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q20 [ALL] Over the past 12 months, were you asked to register or to vote by a candidate for office or a person working for a candidate, a representative of a political party, or someone from an organization working in your community?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	29.97%	30.17%	34.37%	35.09%	32.23%
2	No	69.22%	64.88%	62.54%	63.23%	65.42%
88	Don't know	0.81%	3.21%	2.89%	1.68%	1.95%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.74%	0.19%	0.00%	0.39%

**Q21**

**[IF 20=1] And who was it that contacted you? [READ OPTIONS, ROTATE -- MULTIPLE RESPONSES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A candidate for office or a person weorking for a candiadte	37.50%	32.80%	40.30%	44.40%	39.10%
2	A political party representative	36.10%	31.30%	30.50%	38.60%	34.70%
3	An independent group not direcetly tied to the candidates	15.30%	11.60%	20.70%	18.30%	16.70%
4	working in your community	15.30%	12.70%	25.30%	18.80%	18.00%
88	Don't know	3.40%	18.20%	11.90%	13.00%	14.50%
99	Refused	1.80%	1.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.50%

**Q22 [IF 21=1 OR 2] Were you contacted by the Democrats, Republicans, both parties, or by representatives of other parties such as Green or Libertarian? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Democrats	38.20%	41.80%	56.40%	32.20%	40.80%
2	Republicans	15.30%	16.30%	6.60%	18.30%	14.60%
3	Both Democrats and Republicans	47.30%	42.40%	37.90%	53.20%	46.40%
4	Other party/ Independents	5.00%	2.40%	4.10%	3.30%	3.90%
88	Don't know	4.00%	4.80%	3.50%	2.00%	3.50%
99	Refused	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%

**Q23 [IF 20=1] How were you contacted? Was it by mail or door flier, telephone, in-person, e-mail, text message, or some other way? [MULTIPLE RESPONSES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Mail or door flier	38.20%	42.50%	46.40%	46.50%	43.30%
2	Telephone	65.20%	52.20%	59.40%	70.60%	63.20%
3	In-person	28.00%	26.40%	35.90%	25.20%	28.70%
4	E-mail	11.50%	12.30%	14.50%	17.20%	13.90%
5	Text	1.80%	0.70%	3.70%	0.90%	2.20%
8	Some other way	2.80%	0.00%	3.40%	2.80%	2.40%
88	Don't know	1.20%	1.40%	0.60%	0.40%	0.90%
99	Refused	0.20%	1.10%	0.00%	0.40%	0.40%

**Q24 [IF 20=1] Okay, and finally, were those who contacted you [ROTATE: Latino, African American, Asian American, or White]? [ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Latino	33.60%	7.00%	2.90%	7.50%	16.40%
2	African American	12.60%	9.30%	42.70%	11.10%	18.00%
3	Asian American	4.70%	17.50%	6.80%	4.00%	7.20%
4	White	40.90%	32.40%	52.00%	39.70%	41.10%
5	Other goup	1.80%	2.60%	2.40%	2.10%	2.20%
88	Don't Know	32.20%	41.00%	25.30%	52.90%	38.10%
99	Refused	0.60%	2.90%	0.00%	40.00%	0.81%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q25** [ALL] Okay, now I'd like to ask you about different ways people participate in politics. Most people we talk to are too busy to do any of these acts, while others have done one or two. Thinking about over the past 12 months, please tell me if you participated in any of the following:

**Q25a. Gone to a political meeting or speech in support of a particular candidate or political party?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	16.66%	14.28%	21.93%	19.75%	18.06%
2	No	83.34%	84.42%	77.84%	80.12%	81.60%
88	Don't know	0.00%	0.25%	0.23%	0.13%	0.13%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%

**Q25b. Worked as a volunteer for a particular candidate or political party?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	7.93%	8.01%	16.76%	10.29%	10.38%
2	No	92.07%	90.72%	83.15%	89.56%	89.31%
88	Don't know	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	0.14%	0.06%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.26%

**Q25c. Donated money to a candidate, political party or political organization?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	15.74%	18.23%	23.87%	30.07%	21.61%
2	No	84.03%	80.13%	76.02%	69.57%	77.87%
88	Don't know	0.23%	0.38%	0.00%	0.31%	0.23%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.26%	0.11%	0.06%	0.29%

**Q25d. Taken part in a protest or demonstration?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	9.51%	6.67%	7.18%	5.40%	7.40%
2	No	90.37%	92.08%	92.55%	94.60%	92.25%
88	Don't know	0.11%	0.10%	0.27%	0.00%	0.11%
99	Refused	0.01%	1.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.24%

**Q25e. Tried to convince your friends or family to vote?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	68.15%	64.80%	73.35%	69.90%	68.99%
2	No	31.77%	33.89%	26.30%	30.05%	30.63%
88	Don't know	0.08%	0.11%	0.35%	0.05%	0.13%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.24%

**Q25f. Wrote a letter, or sent an email, to an elected official**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	16.52%	14.23%	14.05%	26.97%	18.23%
2	No	83.45%	84.59%	85.60%	73.00%	81.44%
88	Don't know	0.02%	0.08%	0.35%	0.03%	0.10%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%

**Q25g. Used the Internet or Email to talk or learn about politics?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	39.03%	37.66%	38.20%	48.68%	41.06%
2	No	60.55%	60.88%	61.59%	51.07%	58.39%
88	Don't know	0.42%	0.22%	0.21%	0.05%	0.24%
99	Refused	0.00%	1.25%	0.00%	0.20%	0.31%

**Q25g\_1 [IF 25G=1] Visited and read the website of a presidential candidate?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	68.94%	70.93%	76.35%	67.02%	70.15%



## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

2	No	30.85%	27.78%	23.48%	32.82%	29.46%
88	Don't know	0.21%	1.14%	0.18%	0.16%	0.36%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%

### Q25g 2 [IF 25G=1] Read or posted a comment about politics on a blog or website?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	37.91%	44.21%	47.42%	35.87%	40.29%
2	No	62.09%	55.23%	52.11%	63.69%	59.38%
88	Don't know	0.00%	0.37%	0.47%	0.44%	0.29%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%

### Q25g 3 [IF 25G=1] Used a social networking page or listserve to talk about politics?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	18.85%	33.99%	26.37%	19.07%	23.18%
2	No	79.72%	65.42%	72.62%	80.82%	76.02%
88	Don't know	0.98%	0.21%	1.02%	0.11%	0.58%
99	Refused	0.45%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%

### Q26 [ALL] Thinking just about the campaign commercials related to the presidential election. In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, do you remember seeing quite a few presidential advertisements, just a few ads, not too many ads, or you don't remember really seeing any ads about the presidential election here in [STATE NAME]

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Quite a few ads	71.37%	65.96%	80.66%	80.45%	74.52%
2	Not too many ads	11.09%	9.85%	5.99%	6.30%	8.55%
3	Just a few ads	12.05%	11.34%	9.64%	8.25%	10.43%
4	Did not see any ads	3.39%	5.47%	3.06%	4.11%	3.93%
88	Don't know	2.05%	6.08%	0.53%	0.89%	2.26%
99	Refused	0.04%	1.30%	0.13%	0.00%	0.30%

### Q27

[IF 26=1,2,3] Campaigns run many different types of ads on TV. In 2008, there were four general types of ads: [ROTATE: Positive ads in favor of Barack Obama; Positive ads in favor of John McCain] and [ROTATE: Negative ads attacking Obama; Negative ads attacking McCain]. As best you can remember, were most of the ads you saw positive ads supporting a candidate, or were they negative ads attacking a candidate?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Positive ads	28.16%	27.67%	21.10%	16.95%	23.64%
2	Negative ads	42.47%	40.15%	51.44%	59.60%	48.41%
3	Equally positive and negative (BOTH)	25.65%	22.50%	24.69%	20.04%	23.38%
88	Don't know	3.72%	9.50%	2.59%	3.35%	4.48%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.17%	0.17%	0.06%	0.09%

# 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

## Q28a.

[IF 27=1 or 3] And did you see more positive ads in favor of [ROTATE: Barack Obama or John McCain]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Ads in favor of Obama	59.69%	51.99%	63.41%	56.00%	58.14%
2	Ads in favor of McCain	8.89%	9.58%	6.35%	9.31%	8.59%
3	Equal number about Obama and McCain	27.30%	29.62%	27.25%	31.51%	28.62%
4	Don't know/hard to say	2.27%	3.08%	1.80%	1.79%	2.24%
88	Don't know	1.85%	5.38%	0.99%	1.40%	2.29%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.35%	0.20%	0.00%	0.11%

## Q28b.

[IF 27=1 or 3] And did you see more positive ads in favor of [ROTATE: Barack Obama or John McCain]?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Ads in favor of Obama	42.45%	36.43%	60.15%	37.21%	43.92%
2	Ads in favor of McCain	20.39%	22.67%	10.92%	23.83%	19.63%
3	Equal number about Obama and McCain	33.32%	32.91%	26.99%	34.70%	32.23%
4	Don't know/hard to say	2.06%	3.90%	1.58%	2.84%	2.48%
88	Don't know	1.77%	3.99%	0.36%	1.29%	1.68%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.10%	0.00%	0.13%	0.05%

## Q29a.

[IF S3=NON WHITE] In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, did you see any campaign commercials by the presidential candidates specifically addressing [INSERT ETHNIC GROUP] voters?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes, saw ads about Latinos/Blacks/Asians	37.72%	12.80%	20.44%	.	26.10%
2	No, did not see these types of ads	53.52%	75.36%	74.79%	.	65.41%
88	Can't remember/Don't know	8.75%	10.70%	4.65%	.	8.15%
99	Refused	0.01%	1.14%	0.12%	.	0.35%

Q29b. [IF WHITE] In the weeks leading up to the November 4th election, did you see any campaign commercials by the presidential candidates specifically addressing [ASIAN/LATINO/BLACK] voters?

Value	Label	Column
1	Yes, saw ads about Latinos/Blacks/Asians	13.99%
2	No, did not see these types of ads	78.73%
88	Can't remember/Don't know	7.22%
99	Refused	0.06%

Q30 [ALL] Okay, now for something a little different. We are interested in how you would describe your appearance. How would you describe your skin color with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very light	16.63%	6.91%	5.15%	46.78%	20.04%
2	Light	28.23%	23.15%	13.51%	33.17%	25.43%
3	Medium	40.77%	57.73%	49.41%	14.13%	39.16%
4	Dark	8.58%	4.30%	12.96%	1.65%	6.83%
5	Very dark	2.18%	1.44%	10.01%	0.68%	3.26%
88	Don't know	1.89%	2.02%	2.89%	1.32%	1.98%
99	Refused	1.72%	4.44%	6.07%	2.28%	3.31%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q31**

**[ALL] Now, thinking about the golfer Tiger Woods' appearance, how would you describe his skin color using the same scale, with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very light	1.02%	1.41%	4.54%	1.64%	1.98%
2	Light	4.74%	6.93%	23.92%	7.32%	9.80%
3	Medium	24.00%	29.84%	46.98%	40.58%	34.19%
4	Dark	33.51%	40.53%	10.17%	33.05%	30.01%
5	Very dark	12.28%	8.31%	3.51%	8.50%	8.69%
88	Don't know	21.99%	9.00%	3.96%	6.12%	11.55%
99	Refused	2.46%	3.98%	6.92%	2.80%	3.78%

**Q32**

**[ALL] Now, thinking about Democratic candidate Barack Obama's appearance, how would you describe his skin color using the same scale, with 1 being very light and 5 being very dark or some number in between?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very light	1.03%	1.17%	7.02%	1.10%	2.31%
2	Light	4.98%	6.16%	27.45%	9.61%	11.04%
3	Medium	29.79%	30.12%	45.15%	43.98%	36.67%
4	Dark	44.57%	46.35%	8.48%	31.94%	34.25%
5	Very dark	13.81%	8.25%	2.38%	6.96%	8.56%
88	Don't know	3.26%	4.01%	3.20%	3.33%	3.42%
99	Refused	2.57%	3.94%	6.31%	3.09%	3.75%

**Q33**

**Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S3) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much competition do (Answer to S3) have with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY?**

**Q33a.**

**How about with *African Americans*? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with *African Americans*?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A lot of competition	24.47%	12.85%	31.96%	20.02%	22.51%
2	Some competition	35.84%	25.72%	33.83%	39.62%	34.33%
3	Little competition	19.35%	22.42%	18.05%	20.09%	19.90%
4	No competition	12.60%	20.72%	7.09%	8.53%	12.07%
88	Don't know	6.99%	16.07%	7.30%	9.83%	9.63%
99	Refused	0.74%	2.21%	1.76%	1.92%	1.56%

**Q33b.**

**How about with *Whites*? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with *Whites*?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A lot of competition	43.47%	27.61%	56.96%	39.23%	41.93%
2	Some competition	25.46%	23.75%	18.84%	28.47%	24.52%
3	Little competition	15.29%	18.41%	9.52%	10.32%	13.46%
4	No competition	9.46%	13.74%	7.24%	8.44%	9.61%
88	Don't know	5.77%	13.63%	5.43%	11.71%	8.83%
99	Refused	0.55%	2.86%	2.00%	1.84%	1.65%

**Q33c.**

**How about with *Asian Americans*? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with *Asian Americans*?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A lot of competition	16.95%	24.03%	29.26%	13.97%	20.16%
2	Some competition	27.07%	27.10%	25.27%	31.88%	27.94%
3	Little competition	25.90%	16.32%	23.28%	27.58%	23.84%
4	No competition	20.68%	10.25%	12.31%	12.82%	14.81%
88	Don't know	9.01%	19.57%	8.16%	11.90%	11.73%
99	Refused	0.40%	2.74%	1.73%	1.85%	1.52%

**Q33d.**

**How about with *Latinos*? Would you say (Answer to S3) have a lot of competition, some competition, only a little competition, or no competition at all with *Latinos*?**

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A lot of competition	29.99%	13.93%	29.10%	16.78%	23.14%
2	Some competition	34.76%	23.43%	32.66%	32.82%	31.52%
3	Little competition	15.57%	25.77%	19.27%	24.51%	20.71%
4	No competition	12.01%	19.59%	9.79%	13.35%	13.44%
88	Don't know	7.37%	14.63%	7.56%	10.82%	9.77%
99	Refused	0.31%	2.64%	1.63%	1.72%	1.42%

### **Q34a. Do you think “work hard” describes: almost all blacks, most blacks, some blacks, few blacks, almost no blacks in the United States today**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Almost all blacks	10.93%	7.66%	20.81%	13.88%	13.06%
2	Most blacks	21.30%	20.39%	36.73%	35.00%	27.82%
3	Some blacks	38.01%	37.91%	31.08%	28.71%	34.17%
4	Few blacks	14.05%	13.01%	4.03%	5.63%	9.61%
5	Almost no blacks	4.77%	1.98%	0.25%	1.10%	2.32%
88	Don't know	8.63%	12.23%	3.67%	10.35%	8.78%
99	Refused	2.32%	6.81%	3.44%	5.33%	4.24%

### **Q34b. For blacks, do you think "it is easy to get along with them" describes: almost all blacks, most blacks, some blacks, few blacks, almost no blacks.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Almost all blacks	23.74%	15.30%	25.23%	28.67%	23.60%
2	Most blacks	28.68%	30.62%	32.29%	40.26%	32.80%
3	Some blacks	27.66%	29.12%	31.16%	15.31%	25.50%
4	Few blacks	9.47%	6.95%	4.14%	2.82%	6.15%
5	Almost no blacks	3.23%	1.89%	0.38%	0.69%	1.72%
88	Don't know	5.34%	9.84%	3.30%	8.87%	6.74%
99	Refused	1.87%	6.29%	3.50%	3.36%	3.49%

### **Q35a. Do you think “work hard” describes: almost all Hispanics or Latinos, most Latinos, some Latinos, few Latinos, almost no Latinos in the United States today**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Almost all Latinos	31.07%	12.78%	22.31%	24.12%	23.76%
2	Most Latinos	40.44%	31.03%	34.81%	37.65%	36.65%
3	Some Latinos	20.13%	29.93%	30.28%	20.21%	24.24%
4	Few Latinos	2.16%	7.60%	2.96%	2.69%	3.57%
5	Almost no Latinos	0.49%	1.20%	1.16%	0.13%	0.68%
88	Don't know	3.64%	10.98%	4.90%	11.11%	7.32%
99	Refused	2.07%	6.48%	3.57%	4.09%	3.80%

### **Q35b. For Hispanics or Latinos, do you think “it is easy to get along with them” describes: almost all Hispanics or Latinos, most Latinos, some Latinos, few Latinos, almost no Latinos**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Almost all Latinos	33.19%	18.83%	23.09%	29.04%	27.12%
2	Most Latinos	35.96%	34.13%	29.82%	36.94%	34.57%
3	Some Latinos	21.75%	23.63%	32.66%	17.06%	23.18%
4	Few Latinos	3.74%	4.89%	4.10%	1.84%	3.56%
5	Almost no Latinos	0.65%	1.14%	1.42%	1.43%	1.11%
88	Don't know	2.91%	11.19%	5.40%	9.96%	6.92%
99	Refused	1.79%	6.20%	3.51%	3.73%	3.54%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q37 How much does your “doing well” depend on other [INSERT GROUP / S3] also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	A lot	31.27%	30.06%	28.31%	19.87%	27.48%
2	Some	26.34%	23.46%	31.78%	24.79%	26.48%
3	A little	13.93%	14.04%	15.60%	12.71%	13.98%
4	Not at all	21.73%	18.49%	17.38%	31.36%	22.65%
88	Don't know	5.95%	9.22%	5.18%	8.18%	7.03%
99	Refused	0.77%	4.73%	1.75%	3.09%	2.38%

**Q38 Do you think discrimination in the United States preventing [GROUP / S3] from succeeding is a major problem, minor problem, or not a problem at all?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Major problem	38.33%	28.13%	52.00%	10.33%	31.88%
2	Minor problem	36.11%	42.95%	36.43%	37.63%	37.96%
3	Not a problem	21.61%	22.86%	8.98%	47.15%	25.82%
88	Don't know	3.35%	4.31%	2.05%	3.24%	3.25%
99	Refused	0.60%	1.76%	0.53%	1.65%	1.09%

**Q39 Have you personally experienced discrimination, or been treated unfairly because of your race or ethnicity?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	41.47%	47.49%	62.08%	25.20%	42.76%
2	No	57.46%	47.87%	36.19%	73.40%	55.22%
88	Don't know	0.84%	1.97%	1.34%	0.61%	1.11%
99	Refused	0.23%	2.67%	0.39%	0.79%	0.90%

**Q40 Thinking about the immigrant population in your state, would you characterize the {STATE} policies as favorable or unfavorable towards immigrants?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Favorable	46.52%	63.65%	57.91%	58.76%	55.50%
2	Unfavorable	42.60%	17.11%	26.86%	18.59%	28.00%
88	Don't know	10.30%	17.48%	14.56%	21.35%	15.48%
99	Refused	0.58%	1.77%	0.67%	1.30%	1.02%

**Q41 Do you think that as a candidate Obama has been [ROTATE: mostly addressing issues of special concern to [ANS TO S3]; or mostly avoiding those issues?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Addressing those issues	45.00%	27.05%	61.78%	40.71%	43.70%
2	Avoiding those issues	34.87%	37.34%	20.14%	30.25%	31.15%
88	Don't know	19.20%	33.16%	16.37%	25.94%	23.19%
99	Refused	0.93%	2.45%	1.72%	3.10%	1.96%

**Q42 [IF 41=1] Do you think that's because [ROTATE: as a minority candidate he's more concerned with [ANS TO S3] issues; or because he is trying to appeal to all groups regardless of their race]?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	More concerned with issues	32.50%	28.34%	17.94%	20.21%	24.79%
2	Trying to appeal regardless of race	59.21%	62.60%	79.92%	74.36%	69.30%
88	Don't know	8.11%	8.70%	2.06%	4.56%	5.57%
99	Refused	0.17%	0.36%	0.08%	0.86%	0.34%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**Q42a [IF 41=1] Do you think he addressed [ANS TO S3] issues because [ROTATE: he sincerely cares about [S3's]; or because he knew he needed [S3] votes to win the election]?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Sincerely cares about group	64.43%	69.39%	88.64%	74.39%	74.49%
2	Needed those votes to win	25.93%	18.43%	7.00%	15.70%	17.02%
88	Don't know	9.51%	11.33%	3.73%	8.43%	7.79%
99	Refused	0.14%	0.85%	0.63%	1.48%	0.69%

**Q43 [IF 41=2] Do you think that's because [ROTATE: he's not concerned about [ANS TO S3] issues; because he thinks that addressing [ANS TO S3] issues would be unpopular with white voters, or because he's trying to transcend or reach beyond racial issues?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Not concerned about those issues	19.44%	23.07%	8.42%	20.09%	19.02%
2	Unpopular with white voters	29.15%	25.98%	28.49%	18.30%	25.58%
3	Trying to transcend racial issues	36.18%	35.93%	53.94%	40.42%	39.54%
88	Don't know	15.24%	14.73%	9.15%	19.43%	15.35%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.29%	0.00%	1.76%	0.51%

**Q44**

**Do you think Obama's election as president [ROTATE: represents progress for all blacks in America more generally; or do you think it is only a single case that does not reflect broader progress for blacks overall]?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Progress for all blacks	64.58%	60.59%	81.38%	71.06%	68.90%
2	Only a single case	23.06%	23.79%	11.62%	15.79%	18.98%
88	Don't know	11.64%	13.68%	4.76%	10.45%	10.33%
99	Refused	0.72%	1.94%	2.25%	2.70%	1.79%

**Q45\_s**

**[SPLIT E] With Obama's election as President do you think blacks...?  
Generally speaking, do you think blacks...?**

**[SPLIT F]**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	[SPLIT F] Generally speaking, do you think blacks...? [SPLIT E] With Obama's election as President do you think blacks...?	50.40%	49.56%	50.79%	48.36%	49.78%
2		49.60%	50.44%	49.21%	51.64%	50.22%

**Q45 [SPLIT E] With Obama's election as President do you think blacks...?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Have achieved racial equality	26.77%	20.92%	16.26%	32.47%	24.88%
2	Will soon achieve racial equality	43.97%	44.80%	46.43%	42.49%	44.27%
3	Will not achieve racial equality in your lifetime	10.97%	14.28%	16.32%	9.81%	12.45%
4	Will never achieve racial equality	6.79%	4.82%	10.62%	3.52%	6.34%
88	Don't know	10.08%	12.86%	8.68%	9.67%	10.25%
99	Refused	1.43%	2.32%	1.69%	2.04%	1.82%

**Q46 Do you think [ANS TO S3's] have to play down their racial identity to get ahead in American society, or do you think [ANS TO S3's] can express their racial identity and still get ahead?**

Value	Label	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Play down their racial identity	18.29%	24.42%	35.49%	21.56%	23.93%
2	Can express their racial identity	74.51%	63.06%	54.58%	60.20%	64.39%
88	Don't know	6.61%	10.58%	7.95%	14.77%	9.79%
99	Refused	0.59%	1.94%	1.98%	3.47%	1.89%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **Q48 Do you happen to know which political party currently has the most seats in the United States House of Representatives in Washington D.C.?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Republican	7.57%	8.33%	8.88%	4.69%	7.26%
2	Democratic	63.43%	67.88%	63.85%	78.83%	68.38%
3	Both	1.71%	0.92%	0.48%	1.03%	1.12%
4	Neither	0.09%	0.09%	0.03%	0.26%	0.12%
88	Don't know	27.00%	20.80%	26.54%	14.98%	22.55%
99	Refused	0.20%	1.97%	0.21%	0.21%	0.56%

### **Q49 And do you know what political office is currently held by John Roberts? [DO NOT READ OPTIONS]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Chief Justice Supreme Court	8.74%	19.22%	17.10%	25.78%	16.98%
2	Judge/Justice/Court	0.91%	2.02%	1.60%	2.95%	1.80%
3	Mention any other office	0.45%	1.33%	0.96%	1.04%	0.89%
88	Don't know	89.47%	75.36%	79.91%	69.73%	79.55%
99	Refused	0.43%	2.07%	0.43%	0.50%	0.78%

### **Q51 And finally, how much of the time do you trust the government in Washington D.C. to do what is right? Just about always, most of the time, only sometimes, or never at all?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Just about always	9.76%	7.21%	6.35%	3.56%	6.95%
2	Most of the time	22.39%	29.54%	18.93%	19.46%	22.38%
3	Only sometimes	51.95%	47.67%	53.99%	61.47%	53.95%
4	Never at all	10.34%	5.26%	17.65%	13.98%	11.75%
88	Don't know	4.84%	8.52%	2.17%	1.16%	4.09%
99	Refused	0.71%	1.81%	0.91%	0.37%	0.89%

### **D1L.**

**[IF S3=1] Hispanics and Latinos have their roots in many different countries in Latin America. To what country do you or your family trace your ancestry? [OPEN-ENDED WITH LIST OF ALL COUNTRIES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Proportions
1	Argentina	0.58%
2	Bolivia	0.33%
3	Chile	0.66%
4	Colombia	1.57%
5	Costa Rica	0.19%
6	Cuba	3.84%
7	Dominican Republic	3.16%
8	Ecuador	1.49%
9	El Salvador	4.08%
10	Guatemala	1.69%
11	Honduras	0.91%
12	Mexico	53.37%
13	Nicaragua	0.91%
14	Panama	0.45%
15	Paraguay	0.06%
16	Peru	1.57%
17	Puerto Rico	8.73%
18	Spain	5.26%
19	Uruguay	0.15%
20	Venezuela	0.15%
21	United States/America	4.55%
22	Other country	2.69%
23	None	0.50%
88	Don't Know	1.60%
99	Refused	1.54%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**D1A. [IF S3=2] Asian Americans have their roots in many different countries in Asia. To what country do you or your family trace your ancestry? [OPEN-ENDED WITH LIST OF ALL COUNTRIES]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Proportions
1	China (mainland)	13.28%
2	Taiwan/Chinese Taipei	4.57%
3	Korea	14.27%
4	The Philippines	12.77%
5	Japan	6.61%
6	India	14.13%
7	Vietnam	18.52%
8	Other country	5.58%
88	Don't know	3.12%
99	Refused	7.14%

**D2 [ALL] Were you born in the United States, [IF S3=1] "on the island of Puerto Rico," or in another country?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	United States	57.24%	29.34%	89.96%	96.40%	68.37%
2	Another country	38.02%	64.00%	9.12%	3.45%	28.47%
3	Puerto Rico	3.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.20%
88	Don't know	0.13%	0.06%	0.12%	0.00%	0.08%
99	Refused	1.02%	6.61%	0.79%	0.15%	1.89%

**D2A [IF D2=2] In what year did you become a naturalized U.S. citizen? 9999=RF**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
	Mean Value	1993	1992	1993	1977	1992
	9999	7.68%	6.63%	7.36%	4.86%	7.09%

**D2b [IF D2=2 OR 3] Thinking back to the period after you first migrated to the United States, can you tell me in what year you started to live in the U.S. ?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
	Mean Value	1979	1983	1985	1973	1981
	9999	5.31%	4.80%	4.57%	11.00%	5.21%

**D3 [IF D2=1]. How about your parents, were they born in the United States or in another country?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Both parents born in United States	43.15%	48.76%	96.17%	92.40%	75.87%
2	Both parents born another country	38.64%	44.49%	1.60%	3.23%	16.27%
3	1 parent born in U.S.	6.42%	0.68%	0.00%	0.06%	1.87%
88	Don't Know	11.78%	5.60%	2.09%	4.03%	5.83%
99	Refused	0.00%	0.46%	0.06%	0.28%	0.16%

**D4 [ALL] How many total people over the age of 18 live in your household?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	1	10.83%	12.19%	27.61%	16.90%	16.13%
2	2	37.30%	32.39%	38.13%	55.26%	41.09%
3	3	20.14%	20.60%	18.20%	17.17%	19.07%
4	4	13.45%	12.25%	8.83%	8.37%	10.94%
5	5	7.94%	5.84%	2.71%	1.01%	4.65%
6	6	2.97%	3.30%	1.74%	0.41%	2.13%
7	7	1.76%	0.59%	0.23%	0.04%	0.76%
8	8	0.75%	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.41%
9	9	0.50%	1.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.42%
10	10	1.79%	0.34%	0.00%	0.00%	0.66%
99	99	2.56%	10.50%	2.56%	0.84%	3.73%



**2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines**  
[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**D5 How would you rate your overall physical health -- excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Excellent	24.80%	25.67%	20.09%	24.18%	23.85%
2	Very good	27.58%	27.63%	32.46%	38.99%	31.53%
3	Good	24.06%	24.01%	27.60%	22.49%	24.37%
4	Fair	16.25%	11.24%	14.56%	9.85%	13.24%
5	Poor	5.30%	4.28%	3.88%	3.60%	4.36%
88	Don't know	0.46%	0.29%	0.28%	0.23%	0.33%
99	Refused	1.55%	6.89%	1.14%	0.66%	2.32%

**D6 What is the highest level of education you completed? Just stop me when I read the correct category.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Grades 1-8	8.01%	2.82%	2.29%	1.48%	4.09%
2	Some High School	9.28%	2.96%	9.97%	4.31%	6.85%
3	High School graduate	26.57%	10.86%	33.87%	27.78%	25.19%
4	Some College/ Technical School	35.75%	21.11%	31.93%	31.11%	30.79%
5	College graduate	12.76%	31.50%	13.52%	22.81%	19.31%
6	Post-graduate education	5.40%	17.22%	6.25%	11.53%	9.56%
88	Don't know	0.67%	3.23%	0.23%	0.10%	0.96%
99	Refused	1.56%	10.31%	1.95%	0.89%	3.25%

**D7 When it comes to religion, do you consider yourself to be Catholic, Protestant, other Christian, Mormon, Hindu, or something else?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Catholic	59.46%	19.66%	6.26%	22.28%	30.84%
2	Protestant	4.03%	8.07%	13.23%	23.74%	11.81%
3	Christian	20.08%	18.11%	47.30%	28.74%	27.51%
4	Mormon	0.29%	0.43%	0.00%	0.57%	0.33%
5	Hindu	0.09%	5.71%	0.05%	0.00%	1.20%
6	Other	5.21%	11.79%	20.08%	12.72%	11.54%
7	Atheist or agnostic	0.74%	1.77%	0.59%	2.49%	1.37%
8	None	4.28%	13.66%	5.69%	6.17%	6.97%
88	Don't Know	0.12%	10.20%	0.14%	0.05%	2.16%
99	Refused	5.71%	10.60%	6.67%	3.24%	6.27%

**D8A. [IF D7 = 2, 3, 4, 7] Do you consider yourself an evangelical or born-again Christian?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	66.79%	48.25%	63.24%	51.41%	57.73%
2	No	30.13%	40.12%	32.77%	44.36%	37.21%
88	Don't know	2.61%	8.78%	2.85%	3.84%	4.04%
99	Refused	0.46%	2.85%	1.15%	0.38%	1.02%

**D8B. [IF D7=1] Are you part of a charismatic community?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	29.85%	44.27%	21.60%	26.39%	30.74%
2	No	62.89%	53.08%	73.48%	65.07%	62.46%
88	Don't know	6.93%	2.65%	4.92%	7.57%	6.41%
99	Refused	0.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.97%	0.39%

**D9 [IF D7 = 1 – 6 ] Do you attend religious services: at least every week, almost every week, a few times a month, only a few times during the year, hardly ever or never?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	At least every week	37.37%	38.28%	33.65%	30.14%	34.75%
2	Almost every week	11.75%	21.32%	17.03%	14.46%	15.11%
3	A few times a month	17.12%	10.77%	19.06%	16.28%	16.32%
4	Only a few times a year	17.06%	14.35%	12.75%	17.36%	15.79%
5	Hardly ever	9.03%	6.85%	9.19%	13.45%	9.93%
6	Never	7.18%	7.24%	7.07%	8.22%	7.45%
88	Don't know	0.38%	0.39%	0.51%	0.00%	0.31%
99	Refused	0.11%	0.80%	0.73%	0.09%	0.34%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **D10 Are the other people who attend your church [ROTATE: mostly Latino, mostly White, mostly Black, mostly Asian] or something else?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Mostly Latino	51.97%	3.96%	1.13%	3.66%	20.45%
2	Mostly White	15.32%	15.01%	6.08%	63.92%	26.38%
3	Mostly Black	1.96%	2.72%	57.98%	1.18%	13.97%
4	Mostly Asian	1.02%	44.82%	0.15%	1.05%	7.69%
5	Mixed/diverse	26.66%	24.25%	29.75%	21.69%	25.61%
6	Other	0.75%	4.35%	1.21%	1.76%	1.69%
88	Don't know	1.98%	3.30%	2.74%	5.40%	3.27%
99	Refused	0.34%	1.59%	0.98%	1.34%	0.94%

### **D11 [IF D7=1-6] [IF S3=1 or 2] Are the religious services you attend primarily in English, primarily in [IF S3=1; Spanish] [IF S3=2; another language] or bilingual in two languages?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	English	36.58%	48.97%	.	.	40.35%
2	Spanish	28.89%	0.00%	.	.	20.11%
3	Another language	1.48%	26.95%	.	.	9.22%
4	Bilingual/both/mixed	31.90%	21.66%	.	.	28.79%
88	Don't know	0.82%	1.12%	.	.	0.91%
99	Refused	0.33%	1.29%	.	.	0.62%

### **D12 When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, a Conservative, a Moderate, or have you not thought much about this? [If "Liberal/Conservative" reply, WAIT AND ASK: Would you call yourself very [Conservative/Liberal] or not? If "Moderate" reply, ASK: Would you say that you are slightly Liberal, slightly Conservative, or neither?]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Very liberal	3.52%	8.08%	3.46%	3.37%	4.40%
2	Liberal	19.59%	14.58%	16.22%	13.75%	16.38%
3	Slightly liberal	3.81%	4.15%	4.81%	4.01%	4.14%
4	Moderate	16.77%	29.56%	19.11%	25.87%	22.20%
5	Slightly conservative	4.03%	5.74%	2.46%	3.52%	3.92%
6	Conservative	16.50%	10.51%	7.84%	18.66%	14.05%
7	Very Conservative	3.23%	2.47%	1.53%	12.30%	5.06%
88	Not thought about it/Don't know	30.32%	14.13%	41.65%	16.80%	25.88%
99	Refused	2.23%	10.78%	2.93%	1.72%	3.98%

### **D13 [ALL] Are you or is anyone in your household a veteran of the U.S. armed forces or currently serving on active duty in the U.S. military or in the National Guard? [IF NEEDED, PROBE: Is that you or someone else? Is that currently serving or a veteran?]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes, I am currently serving in the military or National Guard	3.34%	3.03%	2.55%	2.55%	2.91%
2	Yes, I am veteran of the U.S. armed forces	9.29%	7.95%	11.99%	14.19%	10.83%
3	Yes, someone in my household is currently serving in the military or National Guard	6.86%	2.34%	4.73%	5.80%	5.23%
4	Yes, someone in my household is a veteran of the U.S. armed forces	7.42%	5.82%	6.55%	8.64%	7.23%
5	Yes, BOTH (MEANING MORE THAN ONE HOUSEHOLD MEMBER)	0.52%	0.41%	0.71%	0.48%	0.53%
6	No	70.28%	71.66%	70.61%	66.92%	69.77%
88	Not thought about it/Don't know	0.47%	0.49%	0.60%	0.18%	0.43%
99	Refused	1.83%	8.30%	2.26%	1.25%	3.08%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **D14 Do you currently own or rent your home?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Own	70.72%	68.53%	61.99%	81.83%	71.33%
2	Rent	23.37%	19.48%	32.07%	14.01%	21.96%
3	Something else	2.19%	1.55%	2.09%	1.90%	1.96%
88	Don't know	1.50%	0.44%	1.25%	0.12%	0.88%
99	Refused	2.22%	10.00%	2.61%	2.15%	3.86%

### **D15 [ONLY IF NO ON 25G] Do you ever go online to access the Internet or World Wide Web or to send and**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	42.28%	53.38%	39.92%	59.56%	48.01%
0	No	54.81%	38.43%	57.88%	39.17%	48.49%
88	Don't know	0.37%	0.57%	0.23%	0.00%	0.30%
99	Refused	2.53%	7.62%	1.97%	1.27%	3.21%

### **D16 What is your employment status? Are you currently: working full-time, working part-time, laid off, a student, a homemaker, retired, or something else?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Full time	46.01%	45.12%	40.57%	43.68%	44.11%
2	Part time	11.91%	9.46%	9.97%	10.32%	10.61%
3	Laid off	6.34%	4.74%	8.37%	3.12%	5.61%
4	Student	5.58%	6.91%	7.81%	3.47%	5.77%
5	Homemaker	8.57%	7.30%	3.27%	7.17%	6.86%
6	Retired	16.17%	14.79%	21.10%	26.03%	19.44%
7	Something else	3.03%	2.61%	5.27%	3.64%	3.56%
88	Don't know	0.12%	0.88%	0.43%	0.84%	0.52%
99	Refused	2.27%	8.18%	3.21%	1.73%	3.53%

### **D17 On average, how many hours a week do you work, including commuting to and from work?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
	Mean Value	38.9	37.9	38.3	42.0	39.4
999		8.17%	13.76%	7.94%	4.08%	8.37%

### **D18 [ALL] If you had one extra hour per day, how would you spend it? For example, would you spend [ROTATE: more time with family and friends, more time at work, more time in your community, more time doing something by yourself,]**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Family and Friends	59.84%	51.81%	44.41%	61.51%	55.46%
2	Work	4.06%	3.43%	3.88%	1.45%	3.22%
3	Community	7.05%	7.96%	12.93%	7.14%	8.47%
4	By yourself	20.69%	22.68%	29.56%	24.68%	23.95%
5	Something Else	4.95%	3.16%	5.64%	2.00%	3.97%
88	Don't Know	1.28%	1.54%	1.55%	1.36%	1.41%
99	Refuse	2.12%	9.42%	2.03%	1.86%	3.52%

### **D19 How long have you lived in the present address?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
	Mean Value	13.77	11.10	13.74	14.13	13.35
	Don't Know	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%
	Refuse	3.37%	10.55%	3.43%	1.45%	4.35%

### **D20 [IF D19 = 5 OR LESS] In the past 5 years, how many different addresses have you lived at?**

	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
Mean Value	2.15	2.07	2.38	2.10	2.17
Refuse	1.08%	0.21%	1.91%	2.41%	1.42%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

### **D21 [ALL] Do you currently have an up to date driver's license or state-issued photo identification card?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	94.68%	88.03%	90.06%	96.59%	92.86%
2	No	2.66%	2.78%	7.47%	2.06%	3.52%
88	Don't know	0.06%	0.00%	0.08%	0.12%	0.07%
99	Refused	2.59%	9.19%	2.40%	1.23%	3.55%

### **D21A. [IF D21=1] Are you certain that it is up to date and has not expired?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes, certin that it is current	94.88%	97.76%	97.31%	98.25%	96.82%
2	No	4.63%	2.01%	1.97%	1.69%	2.81%
88	Don't know	0.39%	0.12%	0.66%	0.06%	0.30%
99	Refused	0.10%	0.11%	0.06%	0.00%	0.07%

### **D21B. A lot of people go by a nickname or change their name when they get married. Is the name that is printed on your driver's license or ID card your full legal name, exactly as it would appear on the [STATE] voter registration record, or is it slightly different?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes, name is correct	96.36%	96.21%	96.21%	95.64%	96.10%
2	No	2.97%	3.46%	3.47%	3.25%	3.24%
88	Don't know	0.44%	0.14%	0.00%	0.54%	0.32%
99	Refused	0.23%	0.19%	0.32%	0.57%	0.33%

### **D21C. [IF D21A=1] And does your ID contain your exact current address where you live?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Yes	94.01%	95.19%	91.71%	95.10%	94.07%
2	No	4.96%	3.88%	7.21%	3.84%	4.90%
88	Don't know	0.53%	0.43%	0.22%	0.42%	0.42%
99	Refused	0.51%	0.49%	0.85%	0.64%	0.61%

### **D22 Would you describe the neighborhood where you live as mostly black, mostly white, mostly Hispanic, mostly Asian, or mixed?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Mostly black	2.90%	3.63%	30.59%	1.23%	8.33%
2	Mostly white	17.40%	25.69%	9.70%	54.87%	27.13%
3	Mostly Hispanic	26.59%	4.68%	3.40%	2.94%	11.27%
4	Mostly Asian	0.53%	10.42%	0.40%	0.55%	2.52%
5	Mixed	48.16%	43.98%	51.74%	37.86%	45.40%
88	Don't know/ No opinion	1.04%	1.34%	1.26%	0.83%	1.09%
99	No Answer, Refused	3.38%	10.26%	2.90%	1.72%	4.25%

### **D22A. Is it almost entirely [ANS TO D22], or is it mostly [ANS TO D22]?**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Almost entirely	39.75%	45.75%	42.46%	46.35%	43.20%
2	Mostly but not entirely	56.52%	51.56%	54.31%	50.23%	53.45%
88	Don't know/ No opinion	3.33%	2.59%	2.70%	2.42%	2.81%
99	No Answer, Refused	0.40%	0.10%	0.53%	1.00%	0.53%

### **D23 [ALL] In what year were you born? 19 \_\_\_\_**

#### **AGE DISTRIBUTION**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
	18-35	34.53%	27.92%	34.18%	22.89%	30.06%
	36-50	30.88%	33.04%	25.05%	26.57%	28.89%
	51-60	17.63%	17.37%	19.48%	21.00%	18.88%
	61-70	9.82%	13.75%	12.94%	15.19%	12.67%
	70 +	7.14%	7.92%	8.35%	14.34%	9.49%

## 2008 Comparative Multi-Racial Survey Toplines

[www.cmpstudy.com](http://www.cmpstudy.com)

**D24 [ALL] And finally, what was your total combined household income in 2007 before taxes. This question is completely confidential and just used to help classify the responses. Just stop me when I read the correct category.**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Less than \$20,000	13.01%	8.37%	16.28%	5.61%	10.84%
2	\$20,000 to \$39,999	19.58%	8.32%	17.13%	13.36%	15.18%
3	\$40,000 to \$59,999	15.91%	11.27%	14.52%	16.59%	14.85%
4	\$60,000 to \$79,999	8.70%	11.31%	7.90%	12.93%	10.15%
5	\$80,000 to \$99,999	6.97%	6.58%	5.83%	10.56%	7.58%
6	\$100,000 to \$150,000	6.41%	8.41%	6.24%	8.24%	7.25%
7	More than \$150,000	3.00%	7.68%	2.42%	6.01%	4.61%
88	Don't know	11.58%	8.56%	10.59%	5.28%	9.14%
99	Refused	14.86%	29.51%	19.08%	21.42%	20.40%

**D25 [ DO NOT ASK] Gender**

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	Latino	Asian	Black	White	Total
1	Male	50.48%	51.38%	54.30%	48.65%	50.98%
2	Female	49.52%	48.62%	45.70%	51.35%	49.02%